

Sandi Adams & Brian Gerrish - Agenda 21/2030 Analysis Part 2...

Video Link:

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/p0QcwUbVtnrY/>

Thu, Oct 27, 2022 7:33AM • 1:15:59

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

people, metaverse, called, world, goal, nhs, human, agenda, talking, creating, sandi, money, big, technocracy, genomic, land, ai, control, carbon credits, happening

SPEAKERS

Sandi Adams, Brian Gerrish

Brian Gerrish 00:31

Good afternoon to the UK Column audience, wherever you are in the world. Thank you very much for joining us. Welcome to part two of my interview with Sandi Adams. And what are we talking about? We're talking about the key issue of Agenda 21, as it was, now Agenda 2030. And with Sandi, we had a really fascinating part one where she surprised me by gently saying, well of course, I did meet Bill Gates. So if you come into part two, as your starting video, I'm going to encourage you very much to go back and watch part one of this interview first. We'll give a little bit of a recap for the audience. But watch part one, and then come back and join us again for part two. Sandi, welcome back into the UK column studio, or be it remotely?

Sandi Adams 01:30

Thank you.

Brian Gerrish 01:30

How are you?

Sandi Adams 01:32

I'm very well, very well, despite the madness that's going on in the world.

Brian Gerrish 01:36

Yeah, it is quite incredible, isn't it? Because there's so much happening and so fast, it's difficult to keep up with it all. Right, let's kick off then. And I'll put my glasses on because it's time of life needing glasses. And I'm just going to read through very briefly some of the things that we

talked about in our part one. And then we're gonna get into a little bit more detail and move on through your excellent agenda.

Brian Gerrish 02:09

Well, I've already dropped in the little bit that you started out last time by talking about how you met Bill Gates and the fact that he was helping to promote a look into the future with all matters to do with intelligent cars and environments. And that then had got your interest and started you researching. And really the key book that caught your attention was a big fat tome of nearly 1000 pages. And that was the Global Biodiversity Assessment, which I think came out in 1997. I think that's what you said.

Sandi Adams 02:50

Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 02:50

Okay. And this was where really the globalists, but also the UN, correct me if I get any of this wrong. That was the key document where a major plan was being set out to really control everything. And you pointed out that you've been able to get a copy of that particular book, and delved into it and found a huge amount of detail about the plans for the future. But you also said that subsequently, that book seemed to disappear from print. I'll just ask you again, because I think this is always interesting when documents seem to disappear off the internet. Have you? Has it really gone? Can other people get hold of a copy of this anywhere that you know?

Sandi Adams 03:44

I don't know, I've tried? And I got it from I think it was Ade? books about 10 years ago, and I've tried to get another copy. And I can't find one anyway, if anyone else can that would be brilliant. But this one came from the States, I think, and it had originated in a University in England. And it had obviously gone over to the states. And then I bought it from whoever it was that was selling it in the States about 10 years ago. So if anyone can find one, let me know. I think it's a fascinating document. Someone told me that the United Nations had denied that they've published it, which is ludicrous because it has a number, it has an ISBN number so I don't know. Who knows, I've not been able to find one.

Brian Gerrish 04:34

Okay, well, the important thing, as I said in part one is that you have that document it was shown to camera. And of course, this means that everything you're saying is coming from this big fat evidence base. So that's excellent. Now that -

Sandi Adams 04:49

- Can I just say, sorry to interrupt you. They did actually put on Amazon, you can find a summary of it, it's called the Summary For Policymakers. And it's a very, very thin little pamphlet, which has really not got very much in it at all, you can buy the Summary For Policymakers, which really doesn't cover all the big stuff in there. In the big one, sorry.

Brian Gerrish 05:14

Okay, all right no that's fine. Now, that document itself you said was a plan which had got a finger in all sorts of pie, it had got a finger in every pie on the planet. So it was looking at what mankind was doing, it was looking at what was happening in the sea on land, what was taking place with crops. This was a very, very detailed assessment of everything. And you pointed out to us last time that it was talking about human settlement, which was linked in the context of cities, but the phrase that was used was human settlement, and you found this a rather spooky terminology.

Brian Gerrish 06:00

And you also highlighted the fact that the plan was a sort of 200 year plan. And this was interesting, because most humans are not thinking in terms of a 200 year plan. They're thinking in terms of what they're gonna be doing this year and next year. And that was coupled with the fact that you moved on to the UN, which of course was completely complicit in what was happening. And you pointed out that the UN, although it's sold itself as a very benign body, well actually they do more than that, they say that they're there to help nurture and protect mankind, but you pointed out that in reality, the UN was totally unaccountable.

Brian Gerrish 06:48

So we've got a 200 year plan where somebody is taking control of every asset on the planet, including people, humans, and it's linked in with the UN, a body which says it's there to protect us and help us, but you demonstrated was unaccountable. And what we said is this, this is very dark.

Sandi Adams 07:16

Yeah, to me it seems like a very, very long term agenda, as we know. And really, at that stage when I was doing the early talks, I have no idea that it was going to go as far as it is now, which is, you know, entering into the alternative reality, the metaverse and how they're creating an alternative reality, because our reality is going to shrink, in their eyes, our reality will will be no more. There'll be no goods and services, you will only access those in the metaverse. So I had no idea that there was going to be this incredible destruction of humanity. I knew that they were gonna try and control us, but not destroy the human condition. And that's what I'm seeing now.

Brian Gerrish 08:05

Right. Okay, thank you for that. All right. Last little bit of the recap then for new viewers, but particularly people who have watched Part two [one] is that you'd put this slide on screen United Nations Agenda 21. So this is another one of the key documents, but this is a much thinner, little booklet, I think this was about sort of half an inch thick or something. So not the big tome of the other one. And these were some of the topics inside. You'd labelled it as a control and inventory over all land, water, minerals, construction, means of production, plants, animals, education, energy, information, human beings, and control of all religious doctrine.

Brian Gerrish 08:52

That last one really flags up to me because in UK column news yesterday, we got onto the subject of people with a religious backgrounds now being drawn into discussions on the World Economic Forum. And it would appear that the World Economic Forum and its partners are very keen to be using established religions to help them unfold their wider agenda. So I think there's lots to be discussed there.

Brian Gerrish 09:29

You'd also mentioned Maurice Strong. So the quote here from Maurice Strong is "we may get to the point where the only way of saving the world will be for industrial civilization to collapse." And I've got to say that's quite a spooky one at the moment because many people would look at the collapse of the economies in the Western world, we are told as a result of that nasty man, Mr. Putin and the war in Ukraine, but we are seeing a collapse of economies in the USA and Europe on a scale we haven't seen since the Second World War, I would say. But just recap a little bit, Maurice Strong. Why did you pick him up early as a person to pay attention to?

Sandi Adams 10:20

Mainly because he had worked with the Rockefellers for about 17 years. He was an oil billionaire himself. So it's questionable, why would an oil billionaire want to head up an Earth Summit to get rid of fossil fuels. And he had already invested heavily as most of the attendees of the Earth Summit in 1992. They'd all heavily invested in the carbon credit banks, you know, the Chicago Climate Exchange, Obama had been involved in all that. And he described himself as a communist in ideology, and a capitalist in methodology, which is a contradiction in terms. So really, we're looking at communitarianism. It's a sort of corporate capitalism. And so what he really wanted to do was to, he loved China, he ended his days in China because he was exiled because he was a criminal. He actually got involved in the oil for food scandal with Adnan Khashoggi. And so, after the Earth Summit, he was exiled to China, where he lived very happily and loved the Chinese Communist system. His sister lived with (inaudible), he was the perfect person to bring in this new world order this new economic order, if you like, that Brzezinski had talked about in the 1970s. So Brzezinski and Kissinger are all involved in all this, they were all part of this plan. So, he was really a big mover and shaker in bringing about what we're seeing now, actually.

Brian Gerrish 11:59

Okay, well, this particular part two we're going to be having a look more at the organisations and some of the people who are mixed into this agenda. And clearly, we have got very powerful people like Maurice Strong and maybe the Rockefellers, wherever we go, there are people with access to immense amounts of wealth but also power, by maybe their own corporate interests or their links into the political world. And they seem to be able to drive really incredible change and agendas on a worldwide scale. So we'll certainly be focusing in on more people.

Brian Gerrish 12:43

Last little bit, as you kindly gave us this little summary of what Agenda 21 seeks to achieve, top down global governance, and you've added there not government, and I'll come back and ask you a question about that in two seconds, populations moved into concentrated urban areas

called 'Smart Cities' 'Human Settlement Zones', near trainlines, no cars or air travel, every human action surveilled and carbon taxed, concentration of wealth and power of the surveillance state, surveillance capitalism, cashless society.

Brian Gerrish 13:25

Again, I'm reading through those sentences. And in my mind, I'm getting little flashes of what we're seeing happen around us. So we take no cars or air travel, well certainly air travel during the COVID lockdown at least and subsequently is decimated compared to the number of people that were moving around the world previously as air passengers. Of course, a lot of them holidaymakers. So air travel we're seeing being suppressed, we're seeing travel by car being suppressed. And I believe now in some American states, they've already announced that they are going to suppress sales of fossil fuel cars, so gasoline cars, or diesel cars, that you're not gonna be able to buy them anymore, as there's a push to electric cars. But also we're seen the numbers of electric cars dropped. Cashless society, that one's coming. So I wouldn't do any more myself. But out of that list. You started off by saying top down global governance, not government. What was your distinction there between governance and government?

Sandi Adams 14:46

Well, it's funny because they call it governance. And really what we're looking at is what is being done to people. I mean I know government really isn't democratic. We know that. But it's always been called government because there was this illusion that governments were actually democratic and the people had their say. So governance is something very different. It's very top down. Its governance. It's really, I call it dictatorship. And that's interesting that they use the word governance instead of government. So that is the distinction. Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 15:22

Okay. So we could call it dictatorship lite L I T E.

Sandi Adams 15:22

Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 15:23

What it really is, is a dictatorship, but it masquerades as something more subtle.

Sandi Adams 15:35

Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 15:35

Governance. Yeah. Okay. Populations going into concentrated areas? Well, I think we're seeing this in many, many places. And of course, we've, we've recently heard from Holland as one of the key places, but also, I think northern Italy, and other countries in the world, where it's clear that farming is being suppressed, land taken away from farming, farmers taken away from farming, and they're talking about putting in land managers instead, which I think is a really creepy title.

Brian Gerrish 16:11

Concentration of wealth, the power of the surveillance state, are we not seeing a demonstration of that by the sheer power of the likes of Google and Facebook, and Twitter, who are now telling their own customer what those customers can do, what they can say, and it appears even what they think. So we can tick off your bullet points, I think.

Sandi Adams 16:38

Hmm, I mean also I think I've actually put every human action surveilled and carbon tax, but also we now have this whole social credit thing going on. So it's how you behave as well. So actually, I need to put that on there because a lot of these slides I actually compiled quite a long time ago, and things have moved on. And I think really looking back at them, I think, oh my God, tick, tick, tick. Yes, this has happened, this has happened, that's happened. But there is the social control, which is really quite worrying. Because, if you don't behave in a certain way, then your social credit, if it's not good enough, you will not have access to goods and services that you would normally have access to if your social credit score was good. Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 17:28

Yeah. And of course, people are not yet linking up the fact that if we go to the cashless society and your score is not high enough, you are not gonna have access to cash, you're certainly not gonna have access to allowances. And those allowances, we've got to the stage where the governments, in many different nations have made people totally dependent on government allowances to live.

17:59

Yes, I mean, this is it. I mean there is this whole, the digital ID is really going to assess you in every single way. And when they say every human action will have a value, and a score, they mean that and that's coming from what they've been saying. So, if you, for instance, if you've used up your carbon credit scores, and you go into the new biometric Tesco, and your facial recognition says that you don't have enough carbon credits to access that piece of meat or that butter or that cheese or whatever, those doors just don't open, you have no access to what you need or what you require. So it is a form of, we're going to be living in, if we allow this to happen. And I truly believe that this won't be, that humans will wake up and realise what is really going on in the world. Because if they did that, then this would not happen. But we just have to realise that they're trying to create a digital prison for us. And this is something that people have really got to wake up and see.

Brian Gerrish 19:19

Okay, I totally agree with that. And I hope that having you here and discussing the subject is gonna help some people, maybe they're already awake, but it's gonna help them follow the path along.

Brian Gerrish 19:31

So let's get into sustainable development goals because you've taken some trouble to put these down in more detail. This was the overview with the little headers here, but if we get into the detail with these slides what was your title, The 17 Goals of Agenda 2030 Create the Metaverse, explain that one again for us. It is the overall goal. Are you saying the overall goal is the metaverse?

Sandi Adams 20:04

Well, do you know, I'm still not sure because the metaverse, I mean, it is being created right now. And there's a lot of money going into it. I mean, I was absolutely appalled that even in The Times newspaper this Sunday, sorry, 'The Future of Payments', and they're talking about payments going into, that MasterCard and Visa are creating something called non fungible tokens that are really the way of trading in the metaverse and how there's a lot of money to be made in all this, that corporate finance can make loads of money in in the metaverse. And so that that made me think, gosh, this is actually really happening, and maybe this is the end game. I mean, I can't help but think at the moment, it says here that the value of the metaverse is predicted to generate by 2030, \$4.2 trillion in commerce, so it does look to me, and I've got to be absolutely honest here, that they're making life so miserable. Actually, in reality, they will try to make life so miserable, and that in order to get your carbon credits, so you're not sanctioned, you will literally have to sit in your smart city apartment with a virtual reality headset and experience reality and buy all your goods and services in the metaverse so that you don't deplete all your carbon credits here in the real world.

Sandi Adams 21:40

And you know they are getting to the point where they're saying, well you can't drive, you can't fly, you can't. So how will you experience other countries? Through the virtual reality headset? And I was really amazed. I don't think I mentioned it in the former episode, but there was a friend of mine's grandson was given 100 pounds by his grandmother to buy a jacket but he didn't. He bought a jacket in the metaverse for 100 pounds, which gave him superpowers. And so that money, who collects that money? You know, there's big business in the metaverse. You can buy real estate in the metaverse at the moment. They're building and twinning everything on earth in the metaverse.

Brian Gerrish 22:28

Sandi sorry to interrupt you there.

Sandi Adams 22:32

Yeah that's fine.

Brian Gerrish 22:32

For the uninitiated. And I'm really interested to hear your description of this. What is the metaverse? What is it? What do you see that it is.

Sandi Adams 22:44

The metaverse is, if you imagine the gaming industry. Now I've learnt a lot from an amazing man called Banton Joe, who's on Facebook. And he was a gamer. He created games. He was in the military as well. He created games, these games that all kids play. You know these virtual reality games where you become the person in the game. You have your own avatar. This is what the metaverse is being created for. It's for us to live our lives in an alternative reality. Now, to me this is insane. I mean these are insane people that are creating this world. You know the likes of Elon Musk and all the techies that are creating the metaverse because what they're doing is they're twinning everything that's in our world they're twinning in the metaverse and they have the technology to do so.

Sandi Adams 23:41

So every city, every tree, everything is created in the metaverse and it's an avatar of our world. And likewise, we can create our own avatars to live in that and to do commerce in that. And people are now doing sort of, I think they call it that people are learning how to actually do commerce and be part of the metaverse, so we live this rather disembodied existence where the gods are the techies that have created that metaverse.

Brian Gerrish 24:15

Yeah.

Sandi Adams 24:15

And unfortunately, this is actually becoming a reality of metaverse, which is not real is becoming a reality. And that's the worry. Yeah. Does that explain it?

Brian Gerrish 24:27

Yeah it does. Absolutely. And where my mind was going was to say its fascinating, isn't it, but what did lockdown do for us? Well, of course, lockdown became zoomy time, instead of people having meetings where the object was to meet other human beings in order to discuss whatever it is you had to discuss, it could be business or pleasure. You then met via zoom which of course, is a meeting which is taking place in an electronic environment. But I also know perfectly that we're very close now to the fact that if you have a meeting with another commercial company, you could don your 3D goggles, and instead of talking to somebody just over a zoom window where you see an image of them, you could be walking into their offices via your 3D model. So you would start off by entering their world and you'd be seated in one of their chairs talking to them. And so I absolutely know this stuff is coming along the pipeline.

Brian Gerrish 25:39

You are saying your research is showing that the ultimate agenda is to bring everything into that metaverse so that human beings really don't bother to get out of their human settlement apartment. All they will do is get out of bed, if they even do that, and plug themselves in and then travel, meet, talk, discuss, conduct recreation via an electronic world.

Sandi Adams 25:40

Yeah, so I mean this is what I think the billionaires, the techies, the people that are creating this. And the thing is that I honestly believe that a lot of people that are involved in this just see it as business. They don't see it as a destruction of our world. And I wonder at what point some of these, there must be good people involved in the tech industry who are understanding that this is destroying our world, our natural, moral, beautiful world that we have no reason to create another one that's an illusion. We have everything we need here. But we've been denied it because they want to control everything. And this is just seen as big business. They're thinking, right, I know people have been doing coding courses on the metaverse to work out how they can buy real estate and control the real estate on the metaverse. And you think well, why would you do that when we've got everything here, because what they're doing is that they're destroying the economy, to the point where we will be, they're creating the carbon credit and the social credit economy.

Sandi Adams 26:21

So our price based economy will go if they have their way. And they're trying, they're doing very, very well at destroying everything that we have. And they're creating this alternative reality to make even more money. So it seems crazy, but that's what is happening.

Brian Gerrish 27:47

Yes, crazy, but with a purpose. And perhaps we'll come back to that later on. So let's move on through these particular goals. And goal number one, which we had just had on screen, I just love the start of this because it's goal one end poverty. And then the first action is make everyone equally poor. And, of course, people are gonna say, well hang on a minute, if you're going to end poverty, you're going to end poverty, but they're ending poverty with a particular agenda in mind. So just, we can't spend too long on each of these. But let's try and get through.

Brian Gerrish 28:24

But ultimately, unless you're part of their elitist group, ordinary people are gonna be suppressed. So actually, we're going to be made equally poor. And the data bit I just want to pick up on very briefly because in the last couple of days, I discovered that the Bank of International Settlements, if people don't know that bank, that's the banking control of 63 other nation's banks, very powerful organisation. It's located in Basel in Switzerland. It has its own effective diplomatic immunity, it doesn't pay a lot of taxes, you can't walk onto the property, even if you're a policeman, that that Bank of International Settlements is now setting up world hubs. One of those is in UK, where the Bank of International Settlements is working directly in partnership with the Bank of England. And what is the objective? Data collection?

Sandi Adams 28:24

No, because there's a lot of them. And I understand that we've got a time [limit], but really bringing in universal basic income is going to make everyone equally poor. And sort of, it's a fixed amount of carbon credits. So basically, we all go back to zero, we're all equal. And we have a set amount of carbon credits per year, and social credits, and the analysis of social credit through the digital footprint. So it will be through your Facebook, your Twitter, etc. So they're collecting data all the time, all the time. Whenever you go on Zoom, they're collecting

data, whenever you go on Facebook, all of these social, these platforms, they are collecting data 24/7 Even when you're in your home, they're collecting data. So we've got all this going on. So yes, that everyone will be sanctioned, according to their carbon credits. Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 29:31

So here, here, we have an immensely powerful bank, very secretive, you can't get minutes of the meetings. But what do we know by their own declaration they're doing, amongst other things, is collecting huge amounts of data. And I think that was really significant. I also noticed you'd mentioned Zima, in China, at the bottom of that slide. And significantly, I think the Bank of International Settlements has set up one of these hubs in Hong Kong. So it's almost like it's putting its octopus tentacles now out worldwide, they don't even control the nation's key banks, like the Bank of England's but they're now putting their own tentacles out.

Brian Gerrish 31:17

So goal two was some access to food. They're going to improve our nutrition, and they're going to improve food production, apparently. Do you believe that?

Sandi Adams 31:31

No, I mean as we know, that food will be downgraded as it's being downgraded now. You know, it's GMO, you know, mass produced low cost, GMO food, synthetic proteins, insect proteins, we've heard about the bugs. You know, they're trying to scale down meat production, meat production is seen as too big an impact on co2 emissions and stuff like that. So we've got AI sensors and blockchain to eliminate waste, loss in food value chain, smart food is storage. So basically, they'll have the sensors in your bins to make sure you're recycling, to eliminate any kind of food waste or whatever, that you know the reality is real food is replaced with synthetic lab food, which we know is really badly, it's not good nutrition.

Brian Gerrish 32:34

And, of course, this is all put across under the lie that the objective is to end hunger worldwide. So we don't grow more grain to feed people. We've got to move food production into the laboratory in order to end hunger. So these goals, to me, always seem to be duplicitous. You look at what they say. And it's almost as though what they say in the headline is the opposite as to what -

Sandi Adams 33:07

- exactly -

Brian Gerrish 33:07

- the real agenda is. Yeah. Sorry, go ahead.

Sandi Adams 33:14

Sorry I was just gonna say that everything that you consume will be recorded on the blockchain as well, so all of this is recorded and sanctioned, whatever you are eating, if you're overweight, or if you've got, I don't know, diabetes, or whatever, you'll be sanctioned for maybe having sugar

or eating anything that is bad for you. And some people say, oh, that's good. But actually, where is free will in this, where's free choice? And we have to be also in charge of our own nutrition and our own decision making our bodily autonomy.

Brian Gerrish 33:52

Yeah. Okay, goal three here, good health and well being. Now this one again is incredible, because are they advancing good health for all ages? If we look at UK, it's obvious that people's health is getting worse, and has been more or less as far as I can see, as long as people have been interfering in our health, but we have more cancers, we have more sicknesses, we have more mental health problems. And those problems are affecting people at an ever younger age. So my picture of health in UK is very clearly that our health is getting worse. You know, apparently we're gonna end up with one in four people with dementia. But at the same time, the NHS system has been taken apart. And as Debbie Evans, our UK Column's nursing correspondent has been pointing out time and time again, we can see that the NHS in its physical form where you're gonna have real doctors and nurses interacting with real people as patients, that's been replaced by AI.

Brian Gerrish 35:08

And this is all part of the NHS long term plan. And you're talking about preventative medicine, which is run through, amongst other things, the collection of genomic data. So they're going to prevent us becoming ill by working on things within our own bodies, genetically driven medicines. So your goal three, I think is happening around this.

Sandi Adams 35:39

It is, I mean, I think later on, when we get on to, I don't know, whether you're going to flag up the NHS sign sort of slide. But basically, NHS England and NHS improvement and NHS X have collaborated in order to bring in more genomic, predictive, participatory, and predictive medicine into the NHS, and it's all genomic driven. So they're really trying to get rid of hospitals as we know them. And they're really going to be genetic screening centres, and dealing with, the reality is you'll be diagnosed by an AI bot doctor in the future, and not so distant future, I would imagine. Because it's impossible at the moment to see a real doctor anyway, you're being fed on the phone line, and that will soon be automated. So it's monitoring by AI, biometric sensors, DNA data harvesting that's going on. Corporations assigned fitness coaches to modify your well being for productivity. And your medical records and genetic history are tied to the blockchain via the digital ID. So we're looking at a system that is completely alien to the caring system we've had in the past.

Brian Gerrish 37:07

Yep. And here we go on to goal four, which is quality education. Inclusive access to education, quality of education and facilities. And again, what are we witnessing, we're watching existing education facilities being if not destroyed, but certainly disrupted in a major way. So schools can't function properly, because they've either been constantly changing with the syllabus or the exam, conduct of exams caused the teaching profession huge problems. And then, many people would say that educational goals themselves are falling all the time. So we're ending up

with more poorly educated children. So the reality is the education system is being collapsed. But what we're going to bring in, you've got there is back to the AI driven curriculums.

Sandi Adams 38:10

Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 38:11

And looking at the child, as basically, the child is just a genomic laboratory, which this new system of governance is going to say, right child A clearly has got all the right genomic sequences to become good, they're gonna be fast tracked, other children well you're gonna become sick, so we don't really want you because you're going to cost us money. Somebody is gonna evaluate human life on that scale amongst children. That's pretty unpleasant.

Sandi Adams 38:46

Yes. I mean, it's valuing all human beings and especially children, because these are going to be the movers and shakers in their hideous military industrial complex that they're creating. So the STEM education is basically you know, it's a system of creating the perfect human being in their eyes, someone that they can actually commodify because all along a child's, if you like their educational timeline, as they reach the goals that STEM education actually wants them to achieve, they will end up with generated tokens being generated onto the blockchain, where the impact investors make their money. And this is why children are at the moment, even as we speak, and during lockdown, they were putting all this technology into schools so that they can data harvest the children, literally from cradle to grave. This is all going on now, even to the point where in some nurseries, they have these things called surveillance play tables where the child is actually filmed whilst playing. So they can actually data harvest and identify children who are going to excel, children who are not going to excel, and really fast track those ones into what they want really. And they've also got something called SEL, which is a kind of it's Sensory , Emotional Learning. And what they do is they can then monitor their eye movements within on screens to see what the emotions of the child are. So they can actually use that as a sort of surveillance tool. All of this is really very dark. Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 40:53

Sandi, I think that that is also part of machine learning there they are. This is all in order to teach robots, how not only to carry out physical movements, but to replicate, and of course it's a sham, but to replicate emotions. And once again, children being very cynically used.

Brian Gerrish 41:16

Goal five, remember, for the audience, what we're going through here is the Sustainable Development Goals, which are all key, a key part of this Agenda 2030 plan itself. So goal five, here, we've got gender equality, and this one makes me smile, because facilitating gender equality, protecting and empowering women and girls. But what's taking place at the moment, we're actually refusing now to identify what a woman is. We've played around with things so that we got politicians who are themselves women who refuse to say what a woman is. So we're actually destroying gender identity. We're not facilitating it.

Sandi Adams 42:04

Exactly. It's all inversion. I mean, everything that they say is good. They're just turning it all upside down. So yeah basically they [are] kind of ignoring the male and the female. And I say gender, it's actually the word sex has gone, when a baby was born used to say what sex it is, now you say what gender so it's getting rid of male female. And teaching women and girls to have less or no children. I mean, we've all been told, oh save the planet don't have children. And I noticed a lot of young women now saying, well we're not gonna have children we're gonna concentrate on our careers. Because life is so difficult to live without the income. They're teaching youngsters to abandon their gender identity and to take on transhumanism generic sexuality for better inclusion into the gender blind metaverse, because that's what it will be. It'll be gender blind, as blind to really weedle out any form of male, female. They just want everybody to be neutral, because it's easier to transfer that into transhumanism.

Brian Gerrish 43:18

And of course what that also does is break down the family bonds. So the family is under attack as well. If you can break some of these bonds, the individuals that are left, whatever they call themselves, men or women or inbetweeners, they are more vulnerable to control of the state.

Brian Gerrish 43:39

Clean water and sanitation. This is an interesting one, isn't it? We now live in a world of bottled water. And I remember reading something many years ago which said, what would a Victorian person think, what would somebody think in the 1920s or 30s If you said that in UK, you didn't have full access to clean water, you had to go to a shop and buy a bottle of water, they would have thought you're a complete complete nutcase. But that's where they've taken us.

Sandi Adams 44:14

Yeah, the water infrastructure is, they're putting fluoride into water, they're putting all sorts of stuff into water. We don't know what's in the water. So that's why people I think err on the side of caution and use bottled water but yeah, I think that they want to control everything water systems, energy, just about everything that we hold dear. You know, clean water, shelter, keeping warm, all of those basic human needs they want to control so that we literally don't have any access to those particular things. So I just feel that we've been hit by all angles really.

Brian Gerrish 45:03

But the point you come back to is that if you follow through these goals, and almost say, consider the opposite of what they claim, that is now happening around us.

Brian Gerrish 45:15

The next one is affordable, goal seven, affordable and cheap energy. What is happening around us? Well, energy prices are skyrocketing.

Sandi Adams 45:22

Yes, it's not affordable.

Brian Gerrish 45:29

Plus, we're being told we can't use certain fuels, we're not allowed to use petrol or diesel, we're not allowed to use coal. We've also got restrictions on wood burning stoves. So all the means of cheap energy are being taken away from us. And we're being told no, you must actually just use electricity where surprisingly the price is increasing at an astronomical rate.

Sandi Adams 45:56

Of course, yeah. And it's much easier to just switch it off, they can do that. If everybody's just using electricity. I mean, they've already said that by 2030, they don't want any woodburners in homes in England. If you look at the net zero document, they want to outlaw all wood burning stoves. So how do you keep warm? How do you cook if everything goes.

Brian Gerrish 46:27

Yeah. Well, you're chuckling there. And we have to keep a sense of humour about it because some of it is so crazy. You have to laugh a bit, but it does.

Sandi Adams 46:37

Yeah. I mean, it gets more and more absurd. And the more absurd it becomes, the happier I am, actually, because the more people will go, hang on a minute, this is madness, what are they doing? And I think that they are getting desperate, I believe, that's why they have this goal of 2030. But they're accelerating it at a really fast pace. And they're making mistakes, and they're coming up with more and more absurd options. And I think that that will be their downfall, because people will look at this and it doesn't make sense. It's not common sense. And people will just suddenly wake up and say, no, this is mad. That's what I believe anyway. I have to.

Brian Gerrish 47:21

I agree with you. In many ways, it has to get so bad that it gets people's attention. When people are warm and comfortable and the money's still coming in, they don't really pay attention to anything outside their life bubble, working, home, children, the car, paying the bills. But when it gets tough, they start to ask questions. And certainly, if we give an example of this, for the UK Column, lockdown was just unbelievably good. Because people were shut up their homes, they became increasingly unhappy and they went online looking for answers as to what was taking place. And for many of them that led them to the UK Column.

Brian Gerrish 48:05

Obviously, there were many other people out there talking about what was going on. But just a little bit of promotion from our point of view, we know that we had a huge boost in audience during lockdown because people wanted explanations as to what on earth was going on.

Brian Gerrish 48:24

Let's keep moving through because we want to do this for the audience. So I will make sure that the actual tables are injected into the video. So we don't have to cover all the points in the

discussion. But goal eight here decent work. That sounds good, doesn't it? Decent Work and Economic Growth. But of course what's happening around us is the economy's are -

Sandi Adams 48:46

- economic decline -

Brian Gerrish 48:47

decline. Okay, and we've got this one, [goal nine] Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure. Now actually, this is a very important one, isn't it? Because if you listen to the government, everything is about innovation. We're gonna innovate so that effectively all will be well in the future. UK is to become an innovation hub of the world, particularly in genomic sequencing and genomic medicines, AI, everything is about innovating. And by innovating, we're going to solve the horrible problems we got now, and we're gonna move into a utopia. But I think you're going to tell us that the utopia is going to be something that we don't want.

Sandi Adams 49:39

Yeah, I mean they've got all these buzzwords like inclusive, resilience, sustainable, all of these are just buzzwords, they don't really mean anything. Because what it does mean is whatever it is, it's wrong. It's inverted. The UN. They want to enable tracking of industrial machinery, robotics for manufacturing and processing. I mean I'm seeing this in the farming industry with the agri tech that they're bringing in to farming, putting 5g collars on cattle, and robotic farming. It really is creating, if you like, the climate to get rid of the human, that's what they want to do. They want to actually replace everything with robotics. So that you will be sitting in your virtual reality headset because there'll be no jobs, it will be taken over by the tech industry.

Brian Gerrish 50:37

Okay, and number 10 here is Facilitating Equality and International Collaboration. Well this is where we're getting into the real globalist language, because this would have us believe that everybody in the world in all nation states is gonna be able to get all together in one big blob. You've said here, bringing what it really means is bringing developed nations to near poverty, while raising developing nations above poverty. So we've got a complete change in the world order taking place, which sounds good in some ways, because we're elevating poorer countries, but it's not to bring them into a position of a happy, fruitful life, it's to actually create equal misery.

Sandi Adams 51:32

Well yes, I mean, the bringing developed nations to near poverty is really what I spoke about, I think, in the first half with our common future, which was that Marshall Plan to actually finance, you know, the India and China, the less developed countries. So what's happening now is that the West is falling. I mean, it really is falling. And it's a planned destruction of Western civilization. And they're saying they're going to help the developing nations, but actually, who has all the resources? Africa, these countries with wonderful resources. I mean, people always talk about Africa as being a poor country. Actually, it's probably one of the richest countries in the world because of its resources, but it's always been suppressed.

Brian Gerrish 52:22

Yeah. And we've got the terrible situation at the moment where we're now starting to decimate rich areas, the Congo being one of them, in order to take the lithium out of the ground in order to make our batteries for electric cars, so Congo being destroyed in order to fulfil this agenda.

Now, I've jumped on through because I thought we'd just focus on a few more.

Brian Gerrish 52:48

Goal 13 climate action? Well, it's been obvious from the start, hasn't it? That so called global warming climate change, as they now like to call it, has been used as one of the key drivers for this bigger globalist agenda?

Sandi Adams 53:11

Yes, I mean climate change has been used really as the big controller, because everybody wants to save the planet. And that's really what the Earth Summit was all about. It was about really conning everyone into believing that the human being is the enemy, and that we need to give up all our rights and freedoms in order to save the planet. And this is exactly what Agenda 21 seeks to do. You don't own anything and you'll be happy because you've destroyed the planet. This is the way they look at it. And smart land management, the management of the land goes into the hands of the globalists because we humans aren't fit enough to actually look after and steward the land, in their eyes. This is how they turn it all on its head.

Brian Gerrish 54:10

Yes and I, sorry Sandi, while you're talking there I called up goal 15, which is Life On Land, protecting and restoring terrestrial ecosystems. The UN is talking about real time habitat, land use mapping, monitoring, detection of illegal activities, wildlife tracking. You are saying, actually they are going to remove humans from their natural environment. And we're going to be pulled into these smart cities where everything is controlled.

Sandi Adams 54:50

Yes, I mean it's a strange one to get your head round, but this has been a long term plan. This is actually in the Global Diversity Assessment. The fact that the human being has, in their eyes, destroyed the planet. And they made us the enemy really a long time ago in 1991, the first global revolution, the Club of Rome report, they actually identified humanity as the enemy as man is the enemy of humanity. So the idea is that they remove us from the equation, and they put us into smart cities, and the land only they have access to. And this is what rewilding 50 percent of the planet by 2030, or 2050, 2050 I think is the goal for rewilding 50 percent of the planet.

Brian Gerrish 55:43

Right Sandi, just to push the point, just explain that rewilding, because I know at one stage there was some very interesting maps of the United States, which appeared to show that there were plans for literally allowing some cities to decay, they wouldn't be used as cities anymore. And then huge areas of America returned to the wildlife for the bears and the wolves and everything

else to take over. And the human beings, those maps that I saw many years ago, I believe were genuine maps, but you've also seen this type of material.

Sandi Adams 56:26

Well, in the Global [Bio]diversity Assessment, they do mention the wildlands project. And they admit that it's controversial, but it was actually a UN based project, the wildlands project of America. And the map that you're referring to is a big map. And I don't think I've got it on this Powerpoint, but I've used it in the past. It's a large map, where most of the, it's colour coded. And most of the map is yellow and red. And that's where humans are not allowed to be. And that is the most, that's the whole of North America. And there's little dots all around the coastline and inland, which have grey dots, which are the human settlement zones. And in between it are the corridors, and they mention the corridors in the Global [Bio]diversity Assessment. They say these are areas for federal and military use, no human use.

Sandi Adams 57:22

So this was a plan, this was a plan, whether it still is or not, who knows, I have no idea. This could be an intermediate thing into getting a sort of possibly a depopulated population into the smart city and control them and be able to harvest their sweat equity, not their they won't have sweat equity, but it's being able to harvest their data. Data is the new gold, that is the new sweat equity, their data will be harvested, and they will live their life through alternative reality. That's the plan, I believe.

Brian Gerrish 58:03

Right? Okay. Right. We're very close to the end on these goals. I've actually jumped that one, because you've talked about the land. Well, they're going for the land, but they're also going for the oceans and the water, there's not going to be anything under the surface of water that they don't control what's in the water.

Sandi Adams 58:24

Yes, I mean the thing is that they've got this drone technology that will be part of the big surveillance tech. I mean, there's gonna be a big push further on the surveillance. There's an amazing guy called Emman?? Jabby, who worked in Silicon Valley as a, he developed facial recognition, he's become a whistleblower. And he talks about how the advancement of surveillance tech is really, really pushing forward. And drones are a big part of it. And they will be surveilling the countryside for anybody who is, for instance, hunting wild animals or whatever, if maybe you're not allowed to access meat or whatever, or fish, and so everything will be monitored, and they'll restrict access to the open seas, lakes, rivers, with sensors and satellites and penalise those found guilty of illegal activities, like fishing, you know, fishing will be not allowed. The whole thing is to force us into GM food. Yeah, so access to land is going to prevent that, because people will naturally use the resources of the land, so they have to get us off.

Brian Gerrish 59:39

Yeah, and if I just give an example that I'm very familiar with, of control over the oceans is the fact that originally, of course, somebody went out in their fishing boat and they went into the open sea and they fished and they brought a catch back to feed themselves and to sell. Then we had the introduction of licences, you had to have a licence to fish. And then we had quotas as to what type of fish you could catch at what time of the year. And then we've moved on to the fact that now it's not the fishermen that even buy the licence. It's the likes of the supermarket's. So the fish are bought in the sea before they even get to market. There's fish swimming around that have actually got an Asda stamp on them or a Tesco Stamp. This is how close this has got.

Brian Gerrish 1:00:35

Right, the last two big goals then, let's deal with those before we have a chat about some of the people. Goal 16. Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions. So again, this is all the icing on the cake. Trust us, we're gonna build you this utopian world, which will be peaceful, there will be complete justice, strong institutions who will look after you and keep you safe. This is the snake oil, isn't it?

Brian Gerrish 1:01:05

Lies, lies lies. Yeah. They just want to modify.

Brian Gerrish 1:01:12

And sorry, let's do the other one that goes with it, goal 17 Partnerships. Because this for me is very, very important because these people could not do what they were doing without the use of a combination of the big powerful global industries, and the very powerful global think tanks and institutions. And you've labelled the World Economic Forum there in your goal 17. I think many people will have at least heard of the World Economic Forum. And they've probably heard of Mr. Schwab. But we're now talking about something which to me seems to work through governments and beyond governments. Is it unreasonable to say it appears that people like the World Economic Forum can control a politician like Tony Blair, for example?

Sandi Adams 1:02:10

Absolutely, yes. I mean, that's the problem is that we have a huge amount of compromised people who are in our governments. And, you know, Tony Blair's a big one. He's got masses of money, invested in impact investing, to actually harvest the human being as a commodity, I mean he's got impact investing companies, they're going to be the ones literally creaming off the money on the tokens on the blockchain, from the data harvesting of our children. I mean, this is horrendous. So it's in there. They want to create all these partnerships, these public private partnerships, that are really the problem. Its big businesses the big problem at the moment, because it's in the hands of greedy bankers, and corporations, and politicians who've all got their fingers in pies. We know that some of them have got money in genomics, and AI, and all sorts of areas that they will make loads of money on this whole agenda. And you know, they're building sustainable global partnerships all the time that they want to make money on. And, they're just trying to weaponize us and control humans, use us as a way of enriching their investments. So yeah.

Brian Gerrish 1:03:46

So money is key, the power that goes with money is key.

Sandi Adams 1:03:53

Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 1:03:53

Inevitably, people who are key drivers of this are very wealthy and very powerful. And we're gonna talk a bit more about some of them. I'm clock watching, the clock always gets in the way cos we're always trying to do things in a respectable time, but I'm just gonna, I think if we can let this run till the end till half past, we've got enough time to go through this next section. And here really you're starting to encourage people to think about various historic events and and also quotes and what people have been doing so let's run through these fairly quickly.

Brian Gerrish 1:04:36

This one, the slide fascinated me, you've got Operation Columbia 1947 What's this all about? We've got cars with what loud speakers on is that what's on the cars?

Sandi Adams 1:04:48

Yes, they have loud speakers, they were all sprayed grey. Now this is the, I'll just go through quickly "the technocracy movement started in the 1930s at Columbia University by a guy called MK Hubbert and Howard Scott. They were political scientists and engineers that proposed a replacement of capitalism with an energy based economy as opposed to a price based economy. It was reintroduced by the Trilateral Commission in 1973 by Zbigniew Brzezinski as the new economic order now known as sustainable development, and the green economy. Many aluminized of Columbia are heading up the present global agenda, and I'll talk about that later. But at the 1947 Elon Musk's grandfather was at Columbia University. And they decided to try and push the technocracy movement to try and give it one final push to get it going because what they wanted was what we are being forced to have now, which is an energy based economy, not a price based economy, if you like carbon credits, carbon economy, they were trying to push that between 1930 and 1947. It kind of fizzled out. But Elon Musk's grandfather gave it one final push with Operation Columbia, and they set up a company from Columbia University called Technocracy Inc.

Sandi Adams 1:06:11

And the final push was to have a convoy of grey cars going all the way along literally from California to Vancouver, and stop at, get this, every masonic temple along the way, because it was financed by the Rockefeller Foundation and the masonic temples. And so Elon Musk's grandfather whose name was Joshua Haldeman,

Brian Gerrish 1:06:35

we've got a slide. Sandi, we've got a slide here. Let's pop this one on screen.

Sandi Adams 1:06:40

Oh yeah there you are. D Haldeman.

Brian Gerrish 1:06:41

There we are.

Sandi Adams 1:06:44

D Haldeman.

Brian Gerrish 1:06:45

So we've got

Sandi Adams 1:06:49

Well, that's the young Elon Musk in the circle there. And that's his grandfather holding him. And that's Joshua Haldeman. And he was the one that was pushing for the carbon based economy in 1947. So really, we've got to thank him for his contribution to the great reset. So this is almost like bloodlines, isn't it? This is another wave where the baton is taken up by the grandchild, you know?

Brian Gerrish 1:07:20

Yeah. And also, of course, that was happening way back then they were looking into us as the future nearly 100 years later. Okay, this Zbigniew Brzezinski himself, I think, isn't it, this slide.

Sandi Adams 1:07:36

Yes, he looks quite demonic, doesn't he? Yeah. So he's passed on now. But he was the one that revived the whole technocracy movement in 1971. And he called it the new economic order. And he proposed that we would work towards a carbon based economy and get rid of money, and to really reengineer the whole of society. And it was at a time that in 1971, where he was meeting with people like Klaus Schwab. In fact, the World Economic Forum was actually brought into being in 1971. So there was a lot of movers and shakers from Columbia University. I mean, a lot of the Alumni were from. It says. yeah, the Alumni of Columbia were heading up a present global agenda. You've got Klaus Schwab, Amortise Etzioni, he was the guy that invented communitarianism or the ideology of communitarianism. We had George W. Bush, Soros, Rockefeller, Zbigniew Brzezinski, Joshua Haldeman, they were all a group of elite technocrats who were then trying to implement what was global warming to create a carbon based economy?

Brian Gerrish 1:08:56

Right.

Sandi Adams 1:08:56

So it's nothing new. It's nothing new.

Brian Gerrish 1:08:59

Yeah. Okay. And you've got a particular, I think this is a cover of a book, The Limits to Growth 1972.

Sandi Adams 1:09:06

Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 1:09:07

I can't see who wrote that. So you have to tell us what the significance of this one was.

Sandi Adams 1:09:13

Yeah, it was written by Randers and Meadows. This was a Club of Rome report that, really again, it was in 1972 this was written, and it was all about finite resources, as opposed to consumerism, and that in 1972, they were saying by 1980, we will have run out of resources. We just haven't got enough resources on the planet to sustain the global population. And it was all about population and finite resources. So this was another Club of Rome report, which went to make the bones of Agenda 21. And they basically were getting all these assumptions from computer models. And we know what happens with models, they are flawed.

Sandi Adams 1:10:03

And so there was this hype going on. And this is why this whole technocracy movement was reinvented, because they've looked at these computer models, got it all wrong again just like they did during the pandemic. So we end up having a big panic. And we've got to stop population, and we've got to stop the use of finite resources. Yeah.

Brian Gerrish 1:10:28

So, Sandi, just hold that book up again cos I think it's really good for people to see you've got what you're talking about.

Brian Gerrish 1:10:36

And I'll say you've got a marvellous kitchen. You know, some mums would have their spices and food in the drawers, but you've got all this amazing research documentation. Okay, now, this is where it gets serious, because you see, and many other people see that there is an unpleasant mix in the if we're heading to the technocratic era. I'll have to check myself on that one, the exact name, but we're looking at technocracy, but eugenics comes in with it as well. And you've got some sort of bullet points here about what has been said, the world has a cancer and that cancer is man.

Sandi Adams 1:10:36

Limits to Growth.

Brian Gerrish 1:10:37

Yeah.

Sandi Adams 1:10:37

This is the newer version of it.

Brian Gerrish 1:10:53

That's pretty unpleasant.

Sandi Adams 1:10:59

Yes.

Brian Gerrish 1:11:00

And, I mean, Bill Gates whom you've met is linked here with the William Gates-Margaret Sanger eugenics movement. The war against the week and now we've got NHS X looking in everything to do with our individual genomics patterns. This is very dark stuff.

Sandi Adams 1:11:53

It is, I mean the thing is it's bringing this eugenics and they call it genomics, which is a kind of euphemism for the new eugenics, they're even calling it the new eugenics. So eugenics is eugenics. You can't sugarcoat it. It's not a good ideology to be following. And what is a little bit worrying is that under the under the veil of the Coronavirus, the government have taken the opportunity to remodel and transform the NHS using AI driven genetics. And, I could go on about this, but I don't think we have time. But really the goal of this technology is predictive, preventative, personalised and participatory medicine. And NHS X published in a document in October 2019, the key to unlocking the benefits of precision medicine, with AI is the use of genomic data generated by genome sequencing, machine learning has already been used to automate genome quality control. So the aspiration is to really have the NHS at its core, it's working around the role of genetics and disease, which actually isn't the only marker for health, you've got a lot of different markers. This is only one of them, but they're concentrating on that, and using it almost as a sort of, if you like, I mean I hate to say it, but there's even worse. I mean it goes on, a chilling fact in the whole plan is behind it is the former Prime Minister's chief adviser Dominic Cummings. In his blog in 2019, he wrote with the hopes that the new NHS genomics prediction programme would ultimately allow the UK not to just prevent diseases, but to identify them in pre birth, effectively endorsing selective breeding programmes at the very core of eugenics. You know, this is really dark stuff. This is awful.

Brian Gerrish 1:13:56

Well Sandi, again Debi Evans with the UK Column has been watching Dominic Cumming's blog for a very long time and says that when you get into it you're reading unbelievably dark twisted stuff. And she says it really gives you an insight into his mind.

Brian Gerrish 1:14:20

Sandi, as always, the clock is looking at me really because I've got some other commitments today. So I'm going to say we should end there with this part of part two. I think we're gonna have to have a part three, because really the next section you're looking at some really interesting people and what they've been doing and talking about, and I want to give you full time for that. So I hope you'll join me for a part three, but we'll close today if you're happy.

Sandi Adams 1:14:54

Thank you. Yeah, I think it would be really good to do a part three and thank you for having me on, and it's been great today. Thank you.

Brian Gerrish 1:15:02

Yeah. Okay. You're very kind. Thank you very much for that. Well, for our viewers, there is so much to talk about here. And as we've often found, we need to get the evidence across. Where are the documents? Where are the statements, who are the people, because individuals that come to the subjects fresh, wants to be sure that what we're talking about comes from an evidence base and that is absolutely the case. We will shortly do a part three with Sandi Adams, and Sandi, I think we will have a great time having a look at the individuals. So thank you very much.

Sandi Adams 1:15:39

Yes. Thank you. See you soon.