

Grand Jury 1 Opening Statements – People’s Court of Public Opinion

Sun, 2/6 11:18AM • 1:25:36

Video Link: [Grand Jury | Day 1 \(odysee.com\)](https://www.odysee.com)

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

pandemic, vaccines, evidence, defendants, proceeding, medical, state, presented, governments, fact, jury, experimental, world, virus, injections, court, experts, india, populace, case

SPEAKERS

Rui Fonsca E Castro, Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt, Viviane Fischer, Deana Pollard Sacks, N. Ana Garner, Reiner Fuellmich, Dipali Ojha

Viviane Fischer 00:12

Hello and welcome to the first day of the grand jury proceeding. We are here in a room that has been specially built for this purpose to hear witnesses and experts with regard to what's happened in the corona crisis. And we are connected with an international group of lawyers. And to begin I turn I give the floor to Attorney at Law Ana Garner from the United States of America.

Reiner Fuellmich 00:59

Ana is muted. Ana you must unmute yourself.

N. Ana Garner 01:32

All right now I'm unmuted, let me find my document, please. Alright, whoops. Here we are. Okay. Sorry. Okay. Can you hear me now?

Reiner Fuellmich 01:47

Yes.

N. Ana Garner 01:50

Thank you, Viviane. It is my honour and pleasure to participate in this international grand jury investigation in enactment of a criminal grand jury against some of the perpetrators, who in a worldwide criminal collaboration, committed crimes against humanity on the entire world's population in the form of a planned pandemic called COVID-19. We, a group of international lawyers, and a judge are conducting criminal investigation, modelled after the United States Grand Jury proceedings. This grand jury investigation serves as a model legal proceeding to present to a jury consisting of the citizens of the world all available evidence of COVID-19 crimes against humanity to date against leaders,

organisers, instigators and accomplices who aided, abetted or actively participated in the formulation and execution of a common plan for a pandemic.

N. Ana Garner 02:53

Crimes to be investigated include all acts performed or omitted by a person in pursuance of a common design, to commit crimes against humanity, and all such criminal acts condemned in the various communities of jurors around the world. This investigation is of the people, by the people and for the people, and shall be referred to as the People's Court of Public Opinion. Having been unable to find a Court to hear the actual evidence in the current systems courts of law, we are undertaking this proceeding outside of the current system, and based on natural law. This in turn is founded on the firm belief that every person can easily distinguish between good and evil and between right and wrong. This proceeding may be one of the most important events outside of the courts that will be done this year. We as a group of international attorneys will present real evidence from real experts, such as scientists, historians, doctors, as well as victims. There are no actors in this presentation, only real people who desire to bring justice to the world, and we need your help. The reason for the enactment of a grand jury process is because our world is at a critical stage in humanity, which will depend on the awakening of all people to reverse the course which could forever alter the way we live as free humans. We must reverse the trajectory of this course now, and portraying a grand jury investigation is the fastest way we know to bring the evidence to the world's public court, you the people. In the United States, only district attorneys or Attorneys General of the various states are allowed to bring such criminal proceedings. But since no one has done so in the United States, we come forward to present the evidence to you in a form modelled after this type of judicial proceeding, which is common in the United States. We base this model on the American criminal grand jury.

N. Ana Garner 05:05

Typically, the grand jury determines whether the evidence is sufficient to return a criminal indictment against one or more accused. It's conducted behind closed doors and neither the accused nor his attorney is present in this type of proceeding. However, we're doing this process in front of full view of the court of public opinion, you the people. The purpose of this investigation is to reveal the evidence which could be used in this type of proceeding in your jurisdiction. We invite you to join us in open transparency using real evidence, real attorneys, a real judge, and real expert witnesses that could be presented in an actual criminal grand jury proceeding in the United States Courts. The success story of this country was written by people who trusted in a constitutional governance for the people. Our forefathers shouldered epic challenges in our history, on the foundation of our Constitution. It was this constitution and its recognition of natural rights and freedoms that released free spirited human beings from the yoke of the British crown. Therefore, in recognising the urgency, we will be asking you, the Court of Public Opinion, to review the presented evidence in order to reclaim our rights and freedoms granted to every person in this country and the world at the end of this proceeding.

Viviane Fischer 06:39

Thank you Mrs Garner. I would like to turn to judge Rui Fonsca E Castro , who presides this proceeding. Mr. Fonsca.

Rui Fonsca E Castro 06:52

Good afternoon. the legitimacy of the Court of Public Opinion sits on the natural law. During the last two years, we have witnessed the dying collapse of the democratic rule of law. In the name of an idea of common goods, which has never been endorsed, the most fundamental rights and freedoms have been taken from us. And we can already feel the approach of a gigantic wave of victims. Justice systems, once strongholds of fundamental rights and freedoms, no longer fulfil their duties. That is, there are no longer judges in Berlin. Shall we wait and do nothing until we are completely annihilated or rather, we assume our responsibilities as members of our communities. It is our rights and above all, our duty to the people and to the future generations to resist oppression and tyranny.

Rui Fonsca E Castro 08:05

The natural law inherent to human being, regardless of its positivization, gives us sacred rights of resistance to oppression. If constitutions are the source of positivization, freedom, fundamental rights and freedoms, natural law, it is its original source. Natural law confers legitimacy to the people to defend fundamental rights and freedoms before a state that has become tyrannical. It's clear now the failure of national and international justice systems to protect people from the tyranny that has taken hold. Therefore, it seems natural law and unwritten law inherent to the human condition that this Court of the Public Opinion finds its legitimacy to initiate the legal proceedings that will begin today. (Inaudible) thank you.

Viviane Fischer 09:15

Thank you for this introduction. Judge Fonsca E Castro. I would like to give the floor now to Deanna. Attorney at law, Deana Pollard Sacks from the United States of America.

Deana Pollard Sacks 09:39

Hello, my name is Deana Pollard Sacks and for the past 22 years, I've been a law professor, constitutional scholar and civil rights activist and litigator. I'm here today to discuss the derivation of our liberty clause which goes back to natural law and to explain why the COVID-19 vaccines are all unconstitutional based upon our history's jurisprudence. On the fourth of July 1776, our founding fathers signed the Declaration of Independence. And here's what they said, We hold these truths to be self evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their creator with certain inalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. In 1891, our Supreme Court in a case called *Botsford* explained that medical liberty is inalienable, and one of the most cherished rights we can ever have. They said, No right is held more sacred, or is more carefully guarded by the common law than the right of every individual to the possession and control of his own person, free from all restraint, or interference of others, unless by clear and unquestionable authority of law, the right to one's person may be said to be a right of complete immunity to be left alone. In 1914, Justice Cardozo, who later became a United States Supreme Court Justice, put it this way. Every human being of adult years and sound mind has a right to determine what shall be done with his own body. And a surgeon who performs an operation without his patient's consent commits an assault for which he is liable in damages. These same exact concepts and verbatim statements by our court have been reiterated over the decades. In 1990, for example, in a case called *Cruzan vs. Director Missouri Department of Health*, the Supreme Court basically held that each individual has a right to reject medical treatment. That goes back to the English common law. And here's what Justice Brennan said in the *Cruzan* case. Anglo American law starts with the premise of thoroughgoing self determination. It

follows that each man is considered to be the master of his own body. And he may, if he be of sound mind expressly prohibit the performance of life saving surgery, or other medical treatment. How is it then that our governments are pushing a medical treatment on us without consent, not real consent. They are coercing our people to take a vaccine that's experimental in nature, upon threat of their livelihoods, their homes and their education.

Deana Pollard Sacks 12:43

Well, our government's relying on a case called Jacobson vs Massachusetts. I'm gonna explain the case and explain why it actually supports our side that we get to choose medicine and medical treatments for our bodies. The case does not support the vaccine manufacturers and does not support vaccine mandates. In 1902, during a smallpox pandemic, that killed hundreds of millions of people internationally, the state of Massachusetts passed a law and the law said that each person must be vaccinated for smallpox or pay a \$5 fine. That's exactly what the law said. It was an either or law, giving people the option to pay a \$5 fine if they don't want to get vaccinated. Well, Mr. Jacobson believed that his liberty interest protected him both from the vaccination and from paying the \$5 fine. So he went all the way to the US Supreme Court after paying the \$5 fine, as he wanted his money back, and the Supreme Court looked at the medical evidence. The smallpox vaccine had been in use for 100 years, it was being used all over the world with a great deal of efficacy, and it was coiling one of the worst pandemics in the history of the world. After reviewing the medical evidence carefully, after making findings concerning the efficacy and safety of the vaccine, and the need for the smallpox vaccine, the Court decided that Mr. Jacobson did not get his \$5 back.

Deana Pollard Sacks 14:16

Now, keep in mind also, Mr. Jacobson believed he had a Liberty interest to run around town and be seen out all over town without being vaccinated. So he sort of flaunted the fact that he wasn't vaccinated because he believed he had the right to be out and about without the medical treatment he did not want. And so the court's opinion was based on all of these facts. And these facts do not support COVID mandates today. In fact, one of the last things the Court said in Jacobson vs Massachusetts, and I quote, "we now decide only that the statute covers the present case, and that nothing clearly appears that would justify this court in holding it to be unconstitutional and inoperative in its application to the plaintiff. Mr. Jacobson."

Deana Pollard Sacks 15:02

There are several reasons why Jacobson does not support the vaccine mandates up to date concerning the Coronavirus. First, there's an enormous difference concerning the public risks involved. The smallpox pandemic was killing up to 60% of people in villages when the smallpox came through. Anywhere from 20 to 60 percent of people were dying with an overall death rate of about 30 percent. At some periods, over 90 percent of babies who were exposed to smallpox were dying. Now compare that to COVID-19 because a tiny fraction of 1 percent of people, the public risk is not even close. The second thing is the COVID-19 vaccines are not really vaccines at all. Unlike the vaccines in history, which stopped infection and stopped transmission, these vaccines do neither. These are experimental vaccines, they haven't been around for 100 years, they haven't been tried and tested, and yet people are being subjected to an experimental vaccine when none of us really know what the long term effects are going to be. And finally, the law in Jacobson vs Massachusetts gave an option for the people to pay

\$5. Today, that would be just under \$150. Compare that to people losing their livelihoods, all that they've worked for, their homes and their college education because they won't submit to the experimental vaccine. There is simply no comparison. Jacobson vs Massachusetts does not support the vaccine manufacturers or the vaccine mandates.

Deana Pollard Sacks 16:35

So some of you may be wondering, then, why haven't the COVID vaccine mandates been declared unconstitutional fully? Well, some of them have been, but there's one that withstood the Supreme Court scrutiny on January 13 2022. So I want to explain that. First of all, the Health Care Worker vaccine mandate arose from the taxing and spending clause of the US Constitution found in Article One, Section Eight. Congress has historically been given great latitude to attach strings to federal monies. So you take the sour with the sweet. And if you want federal monies, you have to submit to the conditions by Congress. Throughout history, Congress has been allowed to put conditions on the receipt of Medicare and Medicare funding, and specifically has authorized conditions to limit the transmission of communicable diseases. Still, the opinion was five to four, with only five justices agreeing that the vaccine mandate for health care workers passed the original test to see whether or not the court would stop the enforcement of the vaccine mandate. But the real question is, as Justice Thomas indicated, why wasn't the efficacy and safety of the vaccine considered? It was not considered and Justice Thomas made that very clear in his dissent joined by three other justices.

Deana Pollard Sacks 18:02

Well, the reason that the vaccines efficacy and safety was not considered is because the issue was not before the court. Of the 22 states who challenged the health care vaccine mandate, no state claimed that the mandate violated the Liberty clause. The Liberty clause is where we find our medical freedoms. Throughout history, the Liberty clause is the clause used to protect us against unwanted medical procedures, and even to allow us to demand medical procedures that we want. So you will see behind me, a picture of the United States Supreme Court House, I have faith that when our justices are presented with the medical facts concerning the efficacy of the vaccine, no?? need for the vaccine, and the way our governments are bullying and coercing people to take the vaccine against their desire, the court will uphold our liberty rights, and they will declare all the vaccines unconstitutional. Later today, and in the days that follow, you'll be hearing from a number of medical professionals describing why this vaccine is nothing like the vaccines of the past. So I encourage you to stay tuned in and thank you for watching.

Viviane Fischer 19:16

Thank you, Mrs. Pollard Sacks for this opening statement of yours. I would now like to give the floor to Dr. Reinier Fuellmich Attorney at Law in Germany for an overview.

Reiner Fuellmich 19:30

Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Reiner Fuellmich, and it is my pleasure to serve as one member of a group of distinguished international attorneys and lawyers who have been collaborating on this very important case for many months now. This case, involving the most heinous crimes against humanity committed under the guise of a Corona pandemic on a global scale looks complicated only at first glance. But when you put together all those pieces, all those little pieces of the puzzle as we will do

this for you with the help of many renowned experts and other witnesses during this proceeding, you will see four sets of facts.

Reiner Fuellmich 20:16

One, there is no Corona pandemic, but only a PCR test pandemic fueled by an elaborate psychological operation designed to create a constant state of panic among the world's population. This agenda has been long planned, its ultimately unsuccessful precursor was the swine flu some 12 years ago, and it was cooked up by a group of super rich psychopathic and sociopathic people who hate and fear people at the same time, have no empathy, and are driven by the desire to gain full control over all of us, the people of the world. They are using our governments and the mainstream media, both of which they literally own, to convey their panic propaganda 24/7.

Reiner Fuellmich 21:06

Two. The virus itself can be treated safely and effectively with vitamin C, D, zinc, etc. And also with off label use of ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine, etc. But all these, not alternative methods of treatment, but real methods of treatment, were banned by those who are using the guise of this pandemic, to push their ultimate goal, which is to get everyone to receive the, as we will show in this proceeding, not only ineffective, but highly dangerous, yes, lethal experimental injections.

Reiner Fuellmich 21:41

Three. The same people who made the swine flu, which ultimately turned out to be a mild flu into a pandemic 12 years ago, by first changing the definition of what a pandemic is, and then creating panic created this Corona pandemic. The swine flu was their first real attempt at creating a pandemic. And just as one of its purposes then was to divert our attention from the blatantly fraudulent activities of their financial industry, more aptly to be called the financial mafia, which had become visible through the Lehman crisis, this is also one of their major purposes of this Corona pandemic now. Had we taken a closer look then, during the Lehman crisis, instead of blindly believing our government's promises that the perpetrators of those financial crimes will be held liable, we would have seen then that they had been looting and plundering our public coffers for decades. And we would have seen that our governments are not our governments anymore. Rather, they have been taken over by the other side through their main platform, the World Economic Forum, which had started to create their own global leaders through their young global leaders programme as early as 1992, two of the first graduates being Angela Merkel and Bill Gates. And we would have understood already then what we will show you now through this proceeding, these financial crimes went unchallenged by our politicians, because they're aiding and abetting those who commit them and profiting from these crimes.

Reiner Fuellmich 23:20

Four. Ultimately, however, we will show to you the jury, that the other side's main purpose is to gain full and complete control over all of us. This involves the finalisation of their looting and plundering by deliberately destroying our small and medium sized businesses, retail businesses, hotel and restaurants, so that platforms such as Amazon can take over. And this involves population control, which in their view, requires both a massive reduction of the population and manipulating the DNA of the remaining population with the help for example of mRNA experimental injections. But it also requires, in their view, the deliberate destruction of democracy of the rule of law, and of our

constitutions through chaos, so that ultimately, we will agree to losing our national and cultural identities, and instead, we'll accept a one world government under the UN, which is now under the full control of them and their world economic forum. A digital passport through which each and every move is monitored and controlled, and one digital currency, which we will only be able to receive from one world bank. There's, of course.

Reiner Fuellmich 24:43

At the conclusion of the case and after you have heard all the evidence, we are confident that you will recommend indictments against all six putative figurehead defendants, Christian Drosten of Germany, Anthony Fauci, the United States, Tedros of the World Health Organisation. Bill Gates, Black Rock and Pfizer. Ladies and gentlemen, this case is about a long planned agenda of a group of ultra rich people and their financial mafia based in the city of London and on Wall Street to use a pseudo pandemic as the guise behind which, while our attention is on the pandemic, they want to complete their decades long efforts to gain full and complete control over all of us. There are numerous platforms on which this group has been meeting and discussing this agenda. But the most important one is that of the World Economic Forum, which was invented in 1971 by a then 33 year old Klaus Schwab. Its members are 1000 global corporations with at least 5 billion US dollars in annual sales, politicians, media representatives, scientists and other so called high profile personality. They meet once a year in Davos, but there are other such meetings, for example, in China, and since 1992, they have created and presented to us their own group of political leaders for the world. Among the first graduates, as I mentioned before are Angela Merkel and Bill Gates in 1992. Others are Sebastian Cortes, up until recently chancellor of Austria, Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister of Canada, Jacinda Ardern, Prime Minister of New Zealand, Francois Macron president of France and many, many more.

Reiner Fuellmich 26:34

This group, which is now called the Davos clique is openly, the publication The Great Reset by Klaus Schwab, is one of the most important sources for this information promoting the shifting of the world's assets to this group of super rich people so then in 2030 ordinary people will own nothing and be happy, as it explicitly states there under their one world government with a digital currency given to us by their one world bank, and they're also openly promoting in close cooperation with people like the putative defendant, Bill Gates, the Rockefellers and others, the drastic reduction of the world's population and the manipulation of the remaining population's DNA all the way into transhumanism. Their most important goal is however, the controlled by them, of course, implosion of the completely looted financial system, and simultaneous introduction of a digital currency issued by one world bank controlled by them, and just as important the introduction of a world government under the UN which has come under their full control in 2019.

Reiner Fuellmich 27:42

For this purpose, they have made concrete plans for this Corona plandemic since at least the spring of 2001, Operation Dark Winter, followed by another such rehearsal, the lockstep exercise by the Rockefeller Foundation in 2010, and finally Event 201 in October of 2019 in New York, sponsored by the Johns Hopkins Centre for Health Security, the Rockefeller Foundation, the World Economic Forum, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Reiner Fuellmich 28:13

Members of the jury we will call a number of different highly renowned expert witnesses from all walks of science, but also witnesses who will testify to the damage that they suffered as a result of getting the experimental injections in this trial. After our opening statements, we will start off in a week from now by calling former members a member of the US military James Bush, who participated in Operation Dark Winter in 2001. Former members of the British intelligence services, Brian Gerrish and Alex Thompson and investigative journalists Whitney Webb and Matthew (inaudible), former World Health Organisation employees and advisers Dr. Sylvia Behrendt and Dr. Astrid Stuckelburger. They will explain to us the historical and geopolitical background of what we are confronted with, and they will show to us how this agenda has been planned for at least 20 years Stark starting with Operation Dark Winter in 2001, and some 10 years later the lockstep scenario by the Rockefeller Foundation, ending with the dress rehearsal Event 201 in October of 2019. And they will explain how, when there were no cases which they needed to declare a Public Health Emergency of International Concern, they created them by having defendant Drosten invent the story of asymptomatic infections which don't exist, and how this PCR test can detect through mass screening of perfectly healthy people, those who are infected, a lie as we will show.

Reiner Fuellmich 29:54

We will continue with the next group of witnesses, Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg, an experienced lung specialist and former member of the German Bundestag and the Council of Europe, who managed to expose the other side's first attempt at a pandemic, the swine flu of 12 years ago as a mild flu. Professor Ulrika Kammerer biologist from Wuerzburg University, Dr. Mike Yeadon, former vice president of Pfizer, Dr. Sylvia Behrendt, Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger, Professor Dolores Cahill of Dublin University, Professor Antonietta Gatti from Italy, Professor (inaudible) from Germany. These experts will explain to us what is behind the legend of the Wuhan wet market outbreak. They will show that the virus is no more dangerous than the common flu, the PCR test cannot tell us anything about infections, but is the only basis for all anti Corona measures, including the ultimate measure the so called vaccines, and the so called vaccines are not only ineffective, but extremely dangerous. Regardless of the natural or manmade origin of the virus. Our immune system is perfectly well capable of dealing with the virus, as evidenced by an infection fatality rate of between point one four and point one five or even less percent. There was no excess mortality anywhere until the experimental injections started. There were not even any cases in early 2020. However, they needed cases in order to declare a Public Health Emergency of International Concern, as this was the only basis on which it would be possible according to their own made up rules, which all governments of the member states of the World Health Organisation had agreed to, to use untested new drugs, the experimental injections, on people. After a first failed attempt at announcing this Public Health Emergency of International Concern because there were no cases they tried again in late January of 2020, after they had created cases with the help of that now infamous Drosten PCR test and announced this Public Health Emergency of International Concern two weeks later.

Reiner Fuellmich 32:06

We will hear from these experts that these cases were almost all false positive test results, nothing else. The next group of experts are Dr. Thomas Binder from Switzerland, Dr. Brian Ardis from Texas, Dr. Shankara Chetty from South Africa, and Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg from Germany and John O'Looney,

undertaker from England. These experts will tell us how, right from the start, we were witnessing a deliberate, completely senseless banning of normal, effective and safe methods of treatment of respiratory diseases, and instead a mandate of treatments that must now be considered serious medical malpractice. Intubation, remdesivir, midazolam. John O'Looney will explain how he first, believing the other side's allegations about a pandemic, even helped the BBC in pushing their panic propaganda until he realised how under the guise of the pandemic people were intentionally being killed.

Reiner Fuellmich 33:13

We will then call the next group of experts including Professor Alexandra Henrion-Caude from France, Dr. Mike Yeadon, Professor Sucharit Bhakti from Germany, Professor Luc Montagnier from France, Dr. Vanessa Schmidt-Kruger from Germany, Dr. Robert Malone, inventor of the mRNA vaccine technique from the US and Professor Arne Burkhardt, pathologist from Germany. They will show us that while the virus did not cause any excess mortality, it has a survival rate of 99.97 percent. The shots are now killing people and have been causing excess mortality of up to 40 percent since September as a result of a poisoning with a spike protein and of shutting off our immune system. Dr. Mike Yeadon will tell us how a group of scientists has even found concrete evidence that the makers of the vaccines are experimenting with lethal dosages to see how the lethal side effects can be manipulated in such a way that the population will not immediately understand what is happening.

Reiner Fuellmich 34:19

The next group of experts will explain to us how it could have come to this. This group includes Professor Mattias Desmet from Belgium, Dr. Ariyan Billeran (???) from France, Dr. Meredith Miller from the US, Professor Havard Vila (???) from Germany, and Stephan Kohn employee of the German Department of the Interior. These experts will walk us through how the other side after having established the Public Health Emergency of International Concern in quick succession introduced us to the lockdown, the nonsensical and dangerous mask mandates, and the very harmful, both physically and psychologically, social distancing until they arrived at their ultimate goal, the ineffective and dangerous even lethal injections. And they will explain to us in detail how our acquiescence to all this was made possible through a gigantic psychological operation, whose panic message we kept receiving through the mainstream media's and our politicians, both owned by the other side relentless propaganda.

Reiner Fuellmich 35:30

The next group of experts includes Lesley Manookian, a former investment banker from the US, Naomi Wolf, journalist and author from the US, Ernst Wolff, a German economist, Professor Christian Kreiss, German economist, Professor Holger Rekow, a German economist and Marcus Kron (???), a German economist. This part of the proceeding will have these experts explain to us in great detail about the intentional destruction of our economies, and how the other side is working on a controlled crash of the financial system to both get away with the crimes that they have already committed over the past decade, decades looting and plundering our public coffers until there's nothing left, and to introduce a one world bank and digital currency plus digital passport.

Reiner Fuellmich 36:21

The final group of experts include Matthew Ehret, an investigative journalist from Canada, Vera Shiraf, a Holocaust survivor, Ilana Rachel Daniel from Israel, Rabbi Smith from New York, Patrick Wood an expert on technocracy from the US, Avi Ty Livni (???) from Israel. This final part of the investigation will have these experts tell us how an important part of the other science agenda has to do with population control, or rather, eugenics. After World War Two, eugenics had a bad name Julian Huxley, who founded the UNESCO said, but he and the very large group of people who supported the idea of eugenics would soon be able to continue with their efforts in this direction, he openly explained. The parallels between what happened then 80 years ago, and what is happening now shall not be ignored. Vera Shiraf will remind us of this. After you have heard all the evidence, we have no doubt that you will recommend indictments against all our putative defendants for crimes against humanity. Thank you.

Viviane Fischer 37:36

Thank you for this overview, and also for giving us an outline of the experts, the lineup of experts that we're gonna hear. I would like to turn now back to Attorney at Law Ana Garner from the US to give us some more information about the PCR test.

Reiner Fuellmich 37:56

I think you forgot Dexter.

Viviane Fischer 37:57

Dexter he's a little late. He's coming in a little later. Mrs. Garner?

N. Ana Garner 38:06

Yes. Thank you. Thank you. To understand the enormity of the planning that went into this criminal collaboration on a global scale, we must start with the basis of the pandemic. This was a big lie. It was a lie for the governments of the world to declare almost in unison a global pandemic. A word like so many others involved in this official narrative that had its definition changed to meet the goals of the agenda. Specifically, what I wanted to talk about is the big lie that was based on the PCR test, the testing. This was not a pandemic but a casedemic. The information we've been given by the CDC and the FDA on the PCR test is demonstrably false. And we will have evidence proving this. The method is not in fact a test at all, not a diagnostic test, but a genetic manufacturing technology that looks for specific snippets of code and amplifies them by doubling on every cycle. Its inventor Kary Mullis, who won a Nobel Prize for the process that he invented, declared that this was never intended to be a valid diagnostic tool. And it's known by all who use it that it cannot detect active infection. Drogen one of the accused, knew this, he knew that the test was meaningless and that the test was false. However, this test has been used to create worldwide panic and compliance. The CDC has even admitted that their own recently withdrawn test was based on contrived samples. The WHO recommended grossly excessive cycle thresholds which is the number of amplifications that a small amount of genetic material underwent to determine if there was anything present are far beyond industry standards, and they render any test meaningless, with false positive results at rates approaching 100 percent. It's been studied that the false positive rates of this PCR test are actually closer to 97 percent. This means that 3 percent of the population who test positive may be sick with something, but we don't know what they're sick with because the test doesn't determine that.

N. Ana Garner 40:38

This whole test has been globally misused to fraudulently justify the existence of a pandemic. And to determine falsely inflated case numbers as well as death numbers. We will demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the defendants participated in this global lie and called a widely geographically dispersed disease with survival rates equivalent to a seasonal influenza. A pandemic that would allow false global declarations of public health emergency. And from this emergency that is now almost two years in the making, Governments mandated draconian restrictions, and separation and isolation of vulnerable populations like the elderly and the children, as well as instituting vaccine mandates throughout the world. What we know will happen next is vaccine passports which will lock down our planet even further, as well as quarantine camps everywhere. Our trust has been betrayed by the corruption in the system, and we will provide you with verifiable sets of facts that we have all been grievously misled to believe a big lie. And in the end goals being in the words of one of the accused Bill Gates "to vaccinate every man, woman and child on the planet with experimental gene altering shots".

N. Ana Garner 42:03

These PCR tests have been used to drive the case numbers and deaths. Let's look at the definition of a case. Historically, a case was someone who was sick, not just a positive test in the absence of any symptoms. With worldwide testing of healthy people, they have grossly inflated the number of cases, and this is broadcast in our faces all the time by mainstream media, by department of highway signs in the United States and maybe in other countries, and has been used to incite panic and compliance amongst the whole world. The significant drawbacks are why PCR testing should only be done on symptomatic patients and why a positive test should be weighed is only one factor of any diagnosis. You cannot diagnose anyone in the absence of clinical symptoms and in the absence of medical diagnosis. So what we have is testing healthy people in large numbers, which predictably result in falsely inflated numbers and the panic.

N. Ana Garner 43:08

The CDC and the FDA have recognised this potential, as did the perpetrators of these crimes that we will be presenting. The evidence will show that Drosten who claims to have invented the test for COVID-19 was aware of its limitations and its falsity. The test was not specific for any virus or bacteria, therefore, it's meaningless in determining what might even be causing any symptoms. What the test is good for is causing worldwide panic. Even deaths, another falsely inflated number, needs to be investigated in light of these false PCR tests. A death with a positive PCR test is considered a death from COVID. Whether you were admitted to the hospital due to a car crash, a heart attack, or even delivering a child. If you tested positive and then died within 28 days or so from your test, your death was attributed to COVID 19. Even our CDC admitted later into the pandemic, that 95 percent of the deaths attributed to COVID were from other causes, it was simply based on a false test. The manufacturers knew all this as well as the quote "inventor" of the COVID 19 test Drosten. We have now part of a fear message that has caused institutionalised discrimination against people who are not choosing to be vaccinated, not choosing to be tested. This is morally reprehensible by any humane standard. As a result of these false test, we have huge catastrophic harm which has been done to the populace of the world. There are scientists and doctors who will testify about the enormous catastrophic harm that has been done from this. The legal evidence of intent and knowledge is in the various FDA

documents and related materials. And they're designed to obfuscate and confuse even lawyers who read and pour through these documents.

N. Ana Garner 45:17

As you may begin to see here, the pillars of the official and media COVID narrative, which are one in the same, fall like dominoes when the evidence has shown there is irrefutable evidence of fraud and multifarious malfeasance throughout the COVID regime, if you have the courage and intellectual honesty to look and study it and consider it fairly. Please do. It may be shocking to some, unbelievable to others, but I assure you this evidence is real and disturbing. One of the things that is a byproduct of it is the system has to pretend that the only answer to these inflated numbers of cases and deaths are vaccines. And they have done this in order to get them emergency use authorised and on childhood schedules. And this is what is coming next. Thank you for listening.

Viviane Fischer 46:14

Thank you, Mrs. Garner for these additional words. I would since I would like to now turn to Dipali Ojha from India. Can you hear us?

Dipali Ojha 46:30

Yes. Thank you so much. Good afternoon, everyone. I am advocate Dipali Ojha from India. It's a matter of great honour for me to present my opening statement to Grand Jury of People's Court of Public Opinion. I thank judge Rui Fonsca and all my learned colleagues for their thoughtful and insightful presentations. In the March of 2020, most of us were caught unawares, as the governments worldwide endorsed the onset of a pandemic, supposedly created by a novel SARS-CoV-2 virus that possibly originated from Wuhan, China. Upon [the] declaration of pandemic, there were a series of announcements which were truly unprecedented, unheard of, by most of us like closing of international borders, complete halt of international flights services, compulsory use of face masks, observance of social distancing, and the deadlier measures like lockdowns. In India, the railway services came to a grinding halt for the first time in the history of 167 years.

Dipali Ojha 47:37

Each country swiftly endorsed similar measures supposedly to prevent and control the spread of infection. In that prevailing state of chaos, panic, shock, uncertainty and fear most of us relied on mainstream media as a source of authentic information around this virus and the resultant havoc it wreaked upon us humans. One of the prominent aspects of communication constantly received from global governments and health authorities was that there is no known cure for this SARS-CoV-2 virus. In several countries, patients who were sick were refused admission in hospitals, and were sent back home stating that there is no cure for this disease. During this phase, there were honest physicians and frontline doctors who treated patients with repurposed drugs, which is certainly not a new phenomenon with the allopathic scheme of medicine, and some physicians devised their own protocols for treatments based on their vast and rich clinical experience. While the physicians performed their solemn duties of saving their patients lives in the best possible manner, using repurposed drugs with proven safety profiles, in combination with supplements like zinc, vitamin C, vitamin D, etc, we witnessed a vehement opposition from the very same health authorities that were at the helm of designing the response to the pandemic. The unrest caused to these people and beheld was very

conspicuous through their acts of dismissal of any information that revealed how the early treatments were effective, and that there existed alternative therapies to treat COVID-19 patients. Now, any person of ordinary intelligence would have found this to be unreasonable in the sense that saving lives would obviously be the first and the foremost objective, and especially when the physicians based on their clinical expertise claimed that they were able to cure patients through early treatment drugs.

Dipali Ojha 49:40

I will digress here for a moment to share with you something from the perspective of my country India. In India we have rich tradition of alternative systems of medicines like Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani and other systems of healing, like naturopathy and homoeopathy. In fact, there is a dedicated ministry under government of India by name Ayush, which is an acronym for our Ayurveda, yoga and naturopathy, unani, siddha, and homoeopathy. The recognised doctors who are trained to practice this alternative systems of medicines have successfully treated COVID-19 patients. However, after the rollout of these experimental vaccines, we should not be calling these as vaccines, in fact, these are injections, which would be an appropriate description. Now, after rollout of these experimental injections, the blocking of any discussion around alternative treatments seemed to have become more pronounced. That included dismissal of doctors who advocated for early treatments of COVID, all the ones who proclaimed that early treatments were indeed safe and effective. Some doctors have even faced disciplinary actions from their medical boards, some were publicly ridiculed and faced the threat of cancellation or suspension of their medical licences.

Dipali Ojha 50:59

Such an acerbic response from the health authorities, governments and medical boards was itself a red flag that something somewhere was wrong and amiss. It is worthwhile to note that most of the persons who were dictating the country's COVID-19 responses worldwide, were not even treating the COVID-19 patients. But they did not pay any heed to the honest and diligent physicians who were actually treating patients on the ground with early treatments. As my learned colleague has already pointed out that the possible reason for the blocking of any information around early effectiveness of early treatments was the emergency use authorization which is granted only when there is no drug that is adequate, number one, approved, and available for treatment. The claim that there is no cure for COVID-19 made it possible for the vaccine manufacturers to apply for EUA. Besides there is immunity that the pharma companies enjoy while EUA is granted to them.

Dipali Ojha 52:02

I wish to inform here at this juncture that in India, there are no specific provisions like the ones laid down by US FDA around the grant of emergency use authorization. And therefore the recently alternative treatments or early treatment drugs were part of India's national COVID management protocol. Ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine were included in our national protocol until late September 2021. In fact, states like Uttar Pradesh, and Goa in India rose to prominence globally for their successful demonstration of use of ivermectin in controlling the spread of COVID-19, and in curing the patient's speedily. However, these success stories have been deliberately undermined and suppressed by all those compromised persons and media platforms, which have invested heavily in vaccine businesses, or who have [a] vested interest in some form or the other in not letting the success of these early treatment drugs known to all people.

Dipali Ojha 53:03

Conspicuous absence of any scientific debate around the effectiveness of early treatments, combined with the muzzling of voices that try to spread the word around [the] effectiveness of early treatment drugs is a clear sign that the governments and health authorities worldwide are pushing vaccine as the only solution and as [the] only cure for COVID-19. Now, another aspect which is specific to India is that there is no immunity to vaccine manufacturers in India. And this has been confirmed on affidavit filed by government of India in our episcope in Supreme Court of India. Recently, we have assisted in filing a petition at Bombay High Court by a father who lost his daughter to the first dose of these experimental vaccines. He has claimed a compensation of around 1.3 million US dollars for loss of life of his daughter. And this is the second case that we have assisted in filing that the first case has been filed in later November 2021. It is filed by a mother who lost her young son to the first dose of these experimental vaccines. In this case, the diseased son was compelled to take the experimental vaccine in order to access the public transport system which allowed only vaccinated people to travel as per the administrative order passed by the government official. In addition to monetary compensation for loss of her son's life to these experimental injections, this mother has also sought for an investigation approved by Premier investigation agency of India into the role of all persons involved in the chain of events right from the manufacturers of these vaccines, persons in charge of COVID-19 response here in India, and all those who claimed that vaccines, these form of vaccines are 100 percent safe and effective. We are expecting several cases to be filed across the country in the near future by victims of these medical experimentation.

Dipali Ojha 55:02

Now calling these products as vaccines would be inappropriate as vaccines are expected to provide immunity against a disease. Why were we promised that Corona vaccines would protect us from supposedly deadly virus. We are witnessing today the fact that these Corona vaccines are neither preventing contracting of the disease, nor stopping the transmission. Besides these vaccines have shown to have serious side effects including deaths. So, there is no way one can justify the experimental medical products that neither stop infection nor transmission. Also, the vaccinated persons are required to follow the COVID appropriate behaviour. If there is a lockdown, they also have to sit indoors, and they also have to wear masks and they also have to follow social distancing.

Dipali Ojha 55:49

Now there is another alarming aspect of the manner in which these experimental injections are being administered on the global population that I wish to bring to your attention. And that is about informed consent as to how the settled and established laws around informed consent have been openly violated. In the lawsuits that we have filed here in India, in addition to the judicial precedent specific to India, by our courts, high courts and Supreme Court, we have also cited how the provisions of Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights 2005 that mandate for giving detailed information to public for getting their informed consent to such medical experimentation. All of these provisions have been breached. For example, Article Three of Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights states that human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms are to be fully respected, and the interests and welfare of the individual should have priority over the sole interest of science and society. Also, Article Six of the same declaration states that any preventive, diagnostic, and therapeutic

medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior free and informed consent of the person concerned based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be expressed and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage and prejudice.

Dipali Ojha 57:22

There is also another landmark judgement which we have cited in the proceedings that we have filed which is the judgement passed by UK Supreme Court, in the case of Montgomery vs. Lanarkshire Health Board, which is of 2015. Now as we discuss the crucial points around absence of informed consent and violation of several international codes and agreements in this process of hasty rollout of these experimental vaccines, the other side, Mr. Global is usually seen harping on a very flimsy ground to justify these medical experimental products, which is benefits outweigh the risks. Now, our expert witnesses shall be presenting the evidences that show the extent of how these experimental products have inflicted upon the population, the serious adverse effects of these jabs, including deaths, the lack of transparency around the clinical trials data, the underreporting of deaths caused due to these jabs, which unlike all these evidences, which our experts would be presenting to the grand jury, in upcoming hearings, will thoroughly demolish this rationale commonly resorted to push these experimental jabs that benefits of these experimental injections outweigh the risks.

Dipali Ojha 58:40

Now, as I conclude, I wish to draw the attention of [the] jury to the following, the rationale for authorities declaring that there is no cure for COVID-19 is not clear to date since there has been no scientific debate or discussion between people in charge of COVID-19 response in countries and those physicians, scientists, and doctors who claim to have proven and documented success stories around the effective early treatments. Secondly, there has been no initiative no effort to conduct clinical trials on [the] effectiveness of early treatment drugs on the part of those responsible for designing countries official COVID response. There has been a blanket denial regarding the natural immunity or the immunity developed after natural infection in spite of several studies being published to this effect. There is a deliberate censorship by big tech of anyone and everyone who chooses to talk about how there exists alternative treatments and that these are safer than the experimental injections. Lastly, the fact that repurposed drugs with extensive data on safety profile are ignored, and an experimental medical injection hurriedly rolled out is touted as safe and effective. We know that there is no transparency around the data on clinical trials conducted, given the number of adverse events being reported globally daily, these experimental products are anything but safe. Our expert witnesses shall cover each of these aspects in detail in upcoming hearings, and I'm very confident that after going through the evidences that is placed on record, the grand jury shall be fully equipped for assessing the role and complicity of each person, each entity, each organisation involved in commission of these horrific crimes against humanity. Thank you for listening.

Viviane Fischer 1:00:35

Thanks so much. Now, I hear that Dexter Ryneveldt from South Africa is with, us, Attorney Ryneveldt, can you hear us?

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:00:46

I can hear you loud and clear.

Viviane Fischer 1:00:49

Fantastic. So the floor is yours.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:00:53

Thank you so much. My sincere apology. I am Dexter Ryneveldt, a lawyer practising advocate from South Africa. It is with great honour and appreciation that I present to you members of this jury a statement of the Court of Public Opinions opening statement. First and foremost, I want to acknowledge (???) Lamial Malloy, Yvonne Casanda, Taboder Maniaki, and Holter Mochila (???) as well as all the brave COVID-19 vaccine injured witnesses worldwide for the kind assistance in dissipation. I'm going to start off with what Thomas Paine 200 years said and he's actually stated very eloquently, "the greatest tyrannies are always perpetrated in the name of the noblest causes". This statement is evermore relevant today, as it was 200 years ago, and will continue to be relevant for years and centuries to come. The question posed to you honourable members of the jury, what is the noblest cause there has been and still are being exploited, since the inception of this SARS 2 COVID 19 pandemic? That is none other than the all encompassed course. Your body and your mind comes down to human (inaudible). We can all agree that the noblest cause is what is in the best interest of human health, and how this impacts our family and friends, as well as our ability to generate an income. The evidence presented will showcase exactly how the defendants orchestrated and manipulated this noblest cause human health to advance the agenda of total control and dominance on an unsuspected populace and how they made serious inroads into the populace constitutional inalienable rights and freedom. From the onset of this pandemic, ladies and gentlemen, members of the juries, the defendants deployed and invested considerable efforts in money in the public relations, actually in a matter of fact their public relations campaigns, what the assistance of the mainstream corporate media. They capitalise this human health concerns for their financial benefits and aspirations of total dominance and control. To their benefit they use the catchphrase "follow the science". This is a catchphrase that all of us are just so familiar with, for whenever they fool, they will always substantiate let's follow the science. This is what the science says to justify and legitimise the public health policies for the so called common good worldwide in lockstep.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:04:19

In addressing the human health concerns in respect to COVID-19, the following pertinent questions must be posed ladies and gentlemen. The first question, what is the true nature of the Sars-CoV-2 virus? Is it really novel? And if so, to what extent can we say it is novel? The short answer to that is no, it is not novel. The evidence from the medical and scientific experts will show beyond any shadow of a doubt that we are not dealing with a novel virus. In a matter of fact, ladies and gentlemen, members of this jury, the SARS-CoV-2 virus can in any ways be regarded as a seasonal flu, where its composition is very much comparable to the SARS-CoV-1 virus.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:05:14

The second question that we need to pose. Are there any suitable and viable medical treatments to combat the COVID 19 illness? Without going into too many details, my learned friend advocate Dipali, has clearly indicated that yes, indeed, there is alternative treatments, and not just that there is

alternative treatments, but there is successful alternative treatments. And this is the evidence that will most definitely be presented to each and every one of you.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:05:49

The third and final question that we need to pose in relation to this health concern is, what is the survival rate of the COVID 19 illness. Evidence once more will show that the survival rate for (inaudible) the pandemic was nothing more than a computerised statistical forecast used to instil fear. And I believe we are all also very much familiar with that fear that has been installed in each and every one of us. But in reality, the survival rate is 99.5 percent. Some of the experts will also give evidence that when it comes to the survival rate, it can even go as high as 99.97 [percent].

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:06:42

The current realistic mortality rate is not even close to the computerised statistical forecasts projected. In a matter of fact, the seasonal flu is a higher mortality rate than COVID 19. Just this statement alone, ladies and gentlemen, must bring us now to a state where we need to reflect and say was it worth it? Was all the lockdowns, hand sanitation, social distancing? Was it really worth it? The three questions that I just posed to you members of the jury bring us to the US, the United States National Library of Medicine. This was a workshop that was conducted in, I'm going to take a quote out of this workshop, and you can search for it on the World Wide Web. And it is a rapid medical countermeasures response to infectious disease enabling sustainable capabilities through ongoing public and private sector partnership, in which Dr. Peter Daszak wrote to Dr. Anthony Fauci open quote, "(inaudible) and infectious disease crisis is very real, present and an emergency threshold it is often largely ignored. We need to increase this public understanding of the need for medical countermeasures, such as (inaudible) Coronavirus vaccine. A key driver is the media and the economics will follow the hype. We need to use the hype to our advantage. To get to the real issues, investors will respond when they see profit at the end of the process". Close quote.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:08:02

This quote in itself ladies and gentlemen, is incriminating, it is unambiguously. It is unambiguous. It sets out the plan behind the pandemic. And by a click of a button honourable members of the jury, you can search for yourself on the World Wide Web who the financial beneficiaries are of this pandemic. Without any doubt, it is the investors, it is the shareholders or the vaccine companies to the dismay of the populace, the average man, the average human. What the ultimate intent to obliterate small and medium sized businesses. The only businesses who are currently thriving financially are the pharmaceutical companies and the big tech conglomerates. We also see the direct impact in head on moms and pop shops in medium sized companies as well. What the statements presented to you will clearly indicate why citizens participated in the global vaccine medical experiments and the core of the vaccine participants belief system and you will hear it from the vaccine injured victims. At the core of their belief system as to why they partook in this medical experiment is that they thought it will be in their best health interest and ultimately financial interest. So with the sake, we see there is a direct connection, I have to do it because of my health, and if I don't do it, I'm going to lose out financially I will not be able to earn an income and hence why I pose to you why the financial interest. If they do not participate in the experimental vaccines, they stand to lose a financial income capacity. This is nothing short of coercion and blackmail, to say the least This is what we call ladies and gentlemen, a carrot and

stick approach. The evidence presented will elucidate due ethical medical experiment processes and protocols that must always be adhered to when conducting medical experiments en masse.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:11:10

Medical experiments must adhere to the highest standards of medical ethics as set out in the Declaration of Helsinki, the Nuremberg Code, as well as each respective countries codified legislative prescripts. The evidence will show that without any doubt the Declaration of Helsinki, the Nuremberg Code, and the codes of conduct for medical experiments in each and every country were violated. In a matter of fact, it's still being violated with this mandatory vaccine mandate it's being pushed throughout the world.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:11:54

And this has all been done with the catch phrase "follow the science". The evidence will show that when it comes to SARS-CoV-2, when it comes to COVID-19 it can be compared as a common flu. The honourable members of the jury, the mRNA inventor Dr. Robert Malone stated on multiple alternative media platforms as to why the COVID 19 mRNA experimental vaccines are dangerous and fraught with grave possible long term sequelae into areas we are talking about myocarditis, pericarditis, and the evidence will clearly indicate that. A broad overview will also be given of the medical and scientific laboratory test that has been conducted in the past on the mRNA medical technology to date as well, and why it is not prudent to deploy the mRNA vaccines on a global scale on an unsuspected populace.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:13:05

The evidence will be corroborated by pharmacologist, toxicologist, immunologist, virologist, physiologist and biochemist entirely yet is a product of the evidence that will be presented by either Professor Bhakti, Professor Parma, and or Dr Wodarg, and I quote, open quote, "over all, it is apparent that the novel method of introducing genetic material into human cells via adenoviruses or adeno associated viruses is fraught with dangerous side effects, the causes of which are not yet entirely clear. While such risk might be acceptable in otherwise incurable conditions, such as spinal muscular atrophy, it is absolutely irresponsible to impose them on healthy people who have little or no risk to ever experience a severe cause of COVID 19", close quote.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:14:15

Members of the jury, to fully comprehend the full extent of the plan behind this pandemic, we must focus to what extent the defendants enacted the lockstep approach of follow the science. We do not have to go too far to comprehend the playbook, we can simply look at how they changed, adapted epidemiological, medical and scientific definitions to suit and justify their mantra of follow the science. With close introspection, we must investigate how they changed, adapted medical and scientific definitions. And the definitions we're talking about is pandemic, endemic vaccines, herd immunity and natural immunity. Medical and scientific evidence will show how these definitions were altered, adapted to fit the defendants premeditated, intentional, criminal offence crimes against humanity.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:15:24

The adaptations of a paramount epidemiological, medical and scientific definitions are criminal, ie their follow the science narrative is not in sync with well established epidemiological standards and

practices. The evidence will clearly illustrate the discrepancies between the follow the science narrative and the actual well established objective, medical and scientific information and data. Honourable members of the jury, I hereby draw your attention to a quote by Booker T. Washington. Open quote, "a lie does not become true, wrong does not become right and evil doesn't become good, just because it's accepted by a majority", even if the majority for argument's sake". And I just quickly just want to go back, by a majority, that's the close quote, even if the majority for argument's sake accepts the distorted medical and scientific definitions, it cannot be seen as correct and more truthful. A mere change of medical and scientific definitions at the one without clear, unambiguous medical and scientific substantiations cannot justify any changes at the patients and it can not thus be seen as truth. It does not matter how many times the defendants and or any collaborators repeat a lie in the case, we are making reference to the media, it cannot become truth.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:17:01

Of the questions uppermost in the minds of the populace, honourable members of the jury, is how is it that defendants were able to make so many advancements in the roll up or the playing pandemic and the vaccines? Why did a considerable number of the populace fall for the defendants pandemic narrative to the extent where they presented themselves to be vaccinated with an experimental mRNA COVID-19 vaccine? To answer this we have to look at the following concept. Astroturfing, and I'm going to read the definition of astroturfing from the Merriam Webster definition and it reads as follows "organised activity that is intended to create a false impression of a widespread spontaneously arising grassroots movement in support of or in opposition to something such as a political policy, but that is in reality initiated and controlled by concealed group organisations such as corporations". Evidence will be led to show how the defendants and the co-conspirators drum up grassroots support and how they deployed mainstream corporate media to push the agenda of premeditated global mass murder by convincing a portion of the populace to willingly accept the experimental COVID-19 gene therapy vaccines.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:18:37

It will always show that through a psychological state of large scale mass formation, the populace' mental equity was intoxicated, and as a direct consequence the populace trusted the mainstream narrative, even though it was utterly absurd, and obviously wrong. I leave you with the following quote from Aristotle, it encapsulates the true essence of our current state of affairs globally. But before I go into it, this Court of Public Opinion ask you to duly consider all the evidence that will be presented in its totality and to find it in you to see the truth for what it is. This is a plandemic and not a pandemic. And when you look at the two words plandemic pandemic, there is one letter that is different and sticks out. So what we say we will be presenting all the evidence, you will learn exactly as to why we are where we are. And as a result of that, you will be confident to say, I have learned so I'm going to take that capital I, and I'm going to insert in pandemic. This is not a pandemic this is a plandemic.

Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt 1:20:13

The evidence is clear, indirect, consequentially the evidence presented will showcase there is sufficient prima facie evidence against all the defendants. And indictments can be, and a matter of fact, must be issued against the defendants in their personal or professional capacities, as well as against those co-conspirators in each and every country in the world who played an instrumental role in peddling the

great illusion of "follow the science", yes, following the science to a point of literal death.. And this is the quote from Aristotle. Open quote "Governments which have a regard to the common interests are constituted in accordance with strict principles of justice, and are therefore through forms, but those which regard only the interest of the rulers are all effective and perverted forms for they are despotic, where as a state is a community of a freeman". I thank you honourable members of the jury.

Viviane Fischer 1:21:27

Thank you, Mr. Ryneveldt, and thanks everyone for the opening statements. We have come to the end of the opening statements. And I would like to let the jury know, the viewers, you can be part of the jury. And we have set up an email address contact@grand-dury.net, where you can get in touch with us, like both if you were, for instance, a lawyer, and you would like to support this endeavour to provide the evidence so that the indictments can begin, so get in touch with us. And also if you are a victim, if you have undergone like problems like with your business, if you have had vaccination damages, if you have suffered all kinds of losses or restrictions, you can get in touch with us and we can present your case, maybe even here, while the proceedings are ongoing, or like in a additional form like maybe on a website so it can be known to the world what has happened to you.

Reiner Fuellmich 1:22:44

Maybe some closing words for today. We are very appreciative of the viewers participation in this proceeding. It's a model proceeding. And I will say on behalf of the entire group of lawyers, some of whom you have met, some others you will see during the continuing proceeding when we question witnesses, for example, I want to thank you and I want to implore you to support us, to watch this, to tell the world what is really going on, because there are not very many sources who which you can trust. There are a few sources, this is one of them. And we believe this is one of the most important ones of them because what we're doing here, what we're undertaking is to give you a complete picture, a complete picture of what has happened and what is happening. Because only by seeing the complete picture, will you come to the conclusion that we cannot trust those who many of us are used to trusting. Our governments are not our governments anymore. Our institutions have been infiltrated by those who are committing these crimes against humanity.

Reiner Fuellmich 1:24:12

More importantly, once you realise that you will also come to the conclusion that it is only us the people who will make a difference. We will put an end to this and we will make sure that there will be clean up afterwards including the procedures that are necessary in order to bring justice and in order to bring those to justice who have committed these crimes against humanity. Thank you very much.