Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

MHRA

Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

10 South Colonnade
Canary Wharf
London
E14 4PU
United Kingdom

+44 (0) 20 3080 6000

gov.uk/mhra

20 September 2019

Dear John Banks,

Ref: Freedom of Information (FOI) request 19/412

Thank you for your request, dated 01 September 2019, where you requested the following information:

"The FDA publishes, on their website, how many deaths are caused by drugs they license. Can you please provide estimates of how many people drugs you license have killed for each year over the last 5 years?

Can you please separate out chemotherapy deaths?

Why have you had pharmaceutical corporation directors on your board?

Isn't that a conflict of interest?

Does the MHRA exist to protect us from the power of the pharmaceutical corporations and their $1.2 trillion turnovers? Or from their chemical drugs?

Avandia Opioids and Vioxx killed 450,000 in the USA. How many did they kill in England?

Why are you still licensing Cervarix and Gardasil, which kill a person a week?

Have you banned Paxil/Seroxat, which killed over 1,000 people and often make depression much worse?

Your directors Gerald Heddell and Ian Hudson were ex the UK's Glaxo Smith Kline. They killed 83,000 with their chemical drug Avandia and got a $3 Billion fine for fraud in the USA because they concealed the fact it killed. Yet the MHRA let them off with absolutely nothing. As a safe natural protein GcMAF has never killed anyone; most don't even get its negligible side effects. Immuno Biotech saved 9,000 from the disease. Yet they got 33 persecutions, 15 court cases so far, and 4 prison sentences. Why didn't Immuno Biotech get the same GSK treatment, ie, absolutely nothing? Is it one treatment for the big pharmaceuticals and another for the little guy?

You illegally gave David Noakes and Lynda Thyer's name to OCLAESP in France, even though they did nothing with GcMAF in France. That's a deliberate double prosecution. Both the Lisbon Treaty and the ECHR ban prosecution for the same offense twice in Europe. Isn't that deliberately breaking the law?

You have always banned safe natural treatments. GcMAF is the latest. Why did you not encourage Immuno Biotech to get it into the NHS?

GcMAF is a safe natural human protein and a human right. It exists in a billionth of a gram. Why are you denying the British people their human rights?

On your website, you proudly state you are involved with innovation in medicines. Why did that not apply to Immuno Biotech Ltd and GcMAF?

Is it true that when you raided Macro Innovations on 28th January 2015 that you had never read a GcMAF research paper?

Why did you not telephone Macro Innovations and ask for an appointment first to discuss what they were doing?

You employed a blood fractionation expert as part of the raid, expecting to find vats of blood. In fact, there was only one gram of vitamin D binding protein imported from FDA approved companies. Doesn't that indicate you had no idea what you were doing?

Please state the total number of scientific research papers on GcMAF in peer-reviewed scientific journals.

Please state the total number of scientific research papers on GcMAF on the American National Library of Medicine.

Please state the total number of scientific research papers on GcMAF in Google Scholar.

Why did you attempt to get a 25-year sentence for David Noakes, 14 in England and 10+ in France? Was that to keep GcMAF hidden for another 25 years?

Two million people have died unnecessarily from cancer because GcMAF has been concealed from them for 25 years. You are mainly responsible. It will be a two trillion pound lawsuit on behalf of the families, levied against the board and governors of the MHRA since its inception. Can they afford to pay?"

Please see below MHRA's response to each of these questions.

Can you please provide estimates of how many people drugs you license have killed for each year over the last 5 years? Can you please separate out chemotherapy deaths?

MHRA holds no information on the number of patients that have died as a direct consequence of the use of licensed medicines in the last 5 years. This information may be held by the individual National Health Services in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. We recommend that you contact these organisations with this enquiry.

Why have you had pharmaceutical corporation directors on your board? Isn't that a conflict of interest?

The Agency applies a strict Conflicts of Interest (COI) policy for Agency staff and none of MHRA Executive Directors hold director positions with pharmaceutical companies. Similarly, a strict COI policy applies to Non-Executive Directors (NEDs), who must declare any interests they hold. None of MHRA's NEDs hold directorships with pharmaceutical companies.
The current MHRA Board's declarations of interest are published on the MHRA website, a link to this is provided below:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/832048/MHRA_Board_Declarations_of_Interest.pdf

Does the MHRA exist to protect us from the power of the pharmaceutical corporations and their $1.2 trillion turnovers? Or from their chemical drugs?

The Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency regulates medicines, medical devices and blood components for transfusion in the UK. We are responsible for:

- ensuring that medicines, medical devices and blood components for transfusion meet applicable standards of safety, quality and efficacy
- ensuring that the supply chain for medicines, medical devices and blood components is safe and secure
- promoting international standardisation and harmonisation to assure the effectiveness and safety of biological medicines

- helping to educate the public and healthcare professionals about the risks and benefits of medicines, medical devices and blood components, leading to safer and more effective use
- supporting innovation and research and development that's beneficial to public health
- influencing UK, EU and international regulatory frameworks so that they're risk-proportionate and effective at protecting public health

Avandia Opioids and Vioxx killed 450,000 in the USA. How many did they kill in England?

MHRA holds no information on this. This information may be held by the National Health Service in England. We recommend that you contact them directly with this enquiry.

Why are you still licensing Cervarix and Gardasil, which kill a person a week?

Cervarix Suspension for Injection and Gardasil Suspension for Injection were granted marketing authorisations on 27 June 2008, following centralised procedures (EM 16447/0001 & EM 23243/0007) conducted by the European Medicines Agency (EMA). Information has been prepared for the public, by the EMA, to explain why these are licensed:

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/cervarix
https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/gardasil-9

If you have any questions concerning the licensing of these products, we recommend that you contact the EMA directly.

Have you banned Paxil/Seroxat, which killed over 1,000 people and often make depression much worse?

Seroxat (paroxetine hydrochloride) is currently licensed as 10, 20 & 30mg film-coated tablets, and 20mg/10ml oral suspension, for the treatment of:
- Major Depressive Episode
- Obsessive Compulsive Disorder
- Panic Disorder with and without agoraphobia
- Social Anxiety Disorders/Social phobia
- Generalised Anxiety Disorder
- Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder

Your directors Gerald Heddell and Ian Hudson were ex the UK's Glaxo Smith Kline. They killed 83,000 with their chemical drug Avandia and got a $3 Billion fine for fraud in the USA because they concealed the fact it killed. Yet the MHRA let them off with absolutely nothing. As a safe natural protein GcMAF has never killed anyone; most don't even get its negligible side effects. Immuno Biotech saved 9,000 from the disease. Yet they got 33 persecutions, 15 court cases so far, and 4 prison sentences. Why didn't Immuno Biotech get the same GSK treatment, ie, absolutely nothing? Is it one treatment for the big pharmaceuticals and another for the little guy?

MHRA has no influence on the sentencing powers of the Courts in England, Wales and France.

You illegally gave David Noakes and Lynda Thyer's name to OCLAESP in France, even though they did nothing with GcMAF in France. That's a deliberate double prosecution. Both the Lisbon Treaty and the ECHR ban prosecution for the same offense twice in Europe. Isn't that deliberately breaking the law?

The investigation involved other law enforcement agencies throughout Europe and the rest of the world, relevant information was shared lawfully with a number of these partners. MHRA has no influence on the Criminal Justice System in France.

You have always banned safe natural treatments. GcMAF is the latest. Why did you not encourage Immuno Biotech to get it into the NHS?

It is incorrect to state that MHRA always bans safe, natural treatments. A large number of medicines that MHRA licences are derived from natural sources. Further, MHRA does not solicit or encourage companies to submit applications for marketing authorisations, these are sent in by companies of their own volition.

GcMAF is a safe natural human protein and a human right. It exists in a billionth of a gram. Why are you denying the British people their human rights?

We do not understand what you mean by "GcMAF is a safe natural human protein and a human right". There is no scientific basis for any of David Noakes's claims about GcMAF. We have provided a link to the investigation of Immuno Biotech and David Noakes, which explains why the production and sale of this unlicensed product was stopped.

https://www.gov.uk/government/news/notorious-noakes-10m-guernsey-gcmaf-crook-imprisoned

On your website, you proudly state you are involved with innovation in medicines. Why did that not apply to Immuno Biotech Ltd and GcMAF?

As stated previously, MHRA does not solicit or encourage companies to submit applications for marketing authorisations, these are sent in by companies of their own volition.

Is it true that when you raided Macro Innovations on 28th January 2015 that you had never read a GcMAF research paper?

Action was taken as GcMAF is not an authorised medicine.

Why did you not telephone Macro Innovations and ask for an appointment first to discuss what they were doing?

MHRA's operational planning and decision making in respect of a criminal investigation is exempt from disclosure under Section 30 of the FOI Act (Investigations and proceedings).

You employed a blood fractionation expert as part of the raid, expecting to find vats of blood. In fact, there was only one gram of vitamin D binding protein imported from FDA approved companies. Doesn't that indicate you had no idea what you were doing?

MHRA's operational planning and decision making in respect of a criminal investigation is exempt from disclosure under Section 30 of the FOI Act (Investigations and proceedings).

Please state the total number of scientific research papers on GcMAF in peer-reviewed scientific journals.
Please state the total number of scientific research papers on GcMAF on the American National Library of Medicine.
Please state the total number of scientific research papers on GcMAF in Google Scholar.

MHRA is the UK licensing body. We hold scientific papers that have been submitted by companies to acquire or vary a marketing authorisation. We do not hold any other scientific papers. Therefore, MHRA does not hold the information you have requested.

Why did you attempt to get a 25-year sentence for David Noakes, 14 in England and 10+ in France? Was that to keep GcMAF hidden for another 25 years?

MHRA has no influence on the sentencing powers of the Courts in England, Wales and France.

Two million people have died unnecessarily from cancer because GcMAF has been concealed from them for 25 years. You are mainly responsible. It will be a two trillion pound lawsuit on behalf of the families, levied against the board and governors of the MHRA since its inception. Can they afford to pay?

Before a medicinal product can be marketed in the UK, a marketing authorisation ('product licence') is needed. No medicinal product can be marketed in the UK without a marketing authorisation. MHRA considers that it has behaved in a proper manner, as the UK regulator of medicines, in ensuring that products that are making medicinal claims that are unsupported by a marketing authorisation are not given to members of the public and any persons attempting to manufacture or sell such products are prosecuted, in line with the UK law.

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date you receive this response and addressed to: info@mhra.gov.uk. Alternatively, you may write to:
The Communications Division

10th Floor
10 South Colonnade
Canary Wharf
London E14 5AB
United Kingdom

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you were to remain dissatisfied with the outcome of the internal review, you would have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Please bear in mind that the Information Commissioner will not normally review our handling of your request unless you have first contacted us to conduct an internal review. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely

MHRA Customer Services